

**Department of Energy**  
Richland Operations Office  
P.O. Box 550  
Richland, Washington 99352

**JUL 26 2007**

07-AMCP-0245

Ms. Jane A. Hedges, Program Manager  
Nuclear Waste Program  
State of Washington  
Department of Ecology  
3100 Port of Benton Boulevard  
Richland, Washington 99354

Dear Ms. Hedges:

**CALENDAR YEAR 2006 HANFORD SITE MIXED WASTE LAND DISPOSAL  
RESTRICTIONS SUMMARY REPORT, DOE/RL-2007-16, REVISION 0**

The purpose of this letter is to respond to the June 11, 2007, comments received from the State of Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) on the Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report (CY 2006 LDR Summary Report). The U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office (RL) comment responses are enclosed, and the updated CY 2006 LDR Summary Report is provided for your approval (Enclosure 2). The responses to Ecology's comments were agreed to at the July 17, 2007, Tri-Party Agreement Project Managers meeting with Eric Van Mason, of your staff.

RL requests that Ecology sign the signature page contained in the report and return the signed page with an approval letter.

If there are any questions, please contact me, or your staff may contact, Matt McCormick, Assistant Manager for the Central Plateau, on (509) 373-9971.

Sincerely,

David A. Brockman  
Manager

AMCP:GLS

Enclosures

cc: See Page 2

Ms. Jane A. Hedges  
07-AMCP-0245

-2-

JUL 26 2007

cc w/encls:

G. Bohnee, NPT  
R. Buck, Jr., Wanapum  
N. Ceto, EPA  
M. E. Cole, CH2M  
L. J. Cusack, Ecology  
S. Harris, CTUIR  
R. Jim, YN  
S. L. Leckband, HAB  
K. Niles, ODOE  
W. E. Remsen, WCH  
D. G. Singleton, Ecology  
H. T. Tilden, PNNL  
E. Van Mason, Ecology  
Administrative Record (M-026)  
Environmental Portal

cc w/o encls:

R. H. Engelmann, EFSH  
A. G. Miskho, FHI  
S. L. Moore, FHI  
J. L. Nuzum, FHI  
R. E. Piippo, FHI  
J. G. Vance, FFS

**ENCLOSURE 2**

# **Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report**

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy  
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management



**Approved for Public Release;  
Further Dissemination Unlimited**

# Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report

Date Published  
April 2007

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy  
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management



United States  
Department of Energy  
P.O. Box 550  
Richland, Washington 99352

J. D. Aardal 04/13/2007  
Release Approval Date

Approved for Public Release;  
Further Dissemination Unlimited

**TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER**

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy.

Printed in the United States of America

**PRIMARY DOCUMENT STATEMENT**

**CALENDAR YEAR 2006 HANFORD SITE MIXED WASTE LAND  
DISPOSAL RESTRICTIONS SUMMARY REPORT**

Approval of the U.S. Department of Energy's annual land disposal restriction report as a *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* primary document shall be by written approval of U.S. Department of Energy and Washington State Department of Ecology Interagency Management Integration Team representatives.


This document has been prepared, submitted, revised, and approved as a primary document in response to the requirements of the *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* milestone series M-26-01 and related *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976* land disposal restrictions and *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* requirements. As such, this document serves as a binding and enforceable document under the *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*.

Approved and issued this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2007.



M. S. McCormick, Assistant Manager  
for the Central Plateau  
U.S. Department of Energy,  
Richland Operations Office  
RI IAMIT Representative

J. Hedges, Program Manager  
Nuclear Waste Program  
State of Washington  
Department of Ecology  
IAMIT Representative

---

Shirley J. Oliver, Acting Manager  
U.S. Department of Energy,  
Office of River Protection  
ORP SEC Representative

This page intentionally left blank.

## CONTENTS

ACRONYMS .....	iv
METRIC CONVERSION CHART .....	vi
1.0 INTRODUCTION .....	1-1
1.1 CY 2006 LDR SUMMARY REPORT OVERVIEW .....	1-2
1.2 SUMMARY INVENTORY OF WASTE TREATMENT GROUPS AND FORECAST GENERATION RATES.....	1-3
1.3 POTENTIAL MIXED WASTE .....	1-3
2.0 ASSESSMENTS OF MIXED WASTE STORAGE AREAS AND POTENTIAL MIXED WASTE .....	2-1
2.1 INTRODUCTION .....	2-1
2.2 ASSESSMENT SCHEDULES.....	2-1
3.0 SUMMARY OF CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION .....	3-1
4.0 SUMMARY OF TREATMENT INFORMATION .....	4-1
5.0 STORAGE VOLUME AND CONTAINER NUMBERS FOR SELECTED STORAGE LOCATIONS .....	5-1
6.0 REFERENCES .....	6-1

## TABLES

Table 1-1. Stored Volumes of Mixed Waste and Generation Projections. ....	1-5
Table 1-2. Treatability Group Summary of Storage, Characterization, and Treatment Activities. ....	1-10
Table 1-3. Explanation of Table1-4, Potential Mixed Waste.....	1-12
Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.....	1-13
Table 1-5. Historical List of Materials Deleted from Potential Mixed Waste Table. ....	1-32
Table 2-1. Summary of DOE-RL Assessment Results. ....	2-1
Table 2-2. DOE-RL Assessments for CYs 2007 through 2009. ....	2-2
Table 2-3. Summary of DOE-ORP Assessment Results. ....	2-2
Table 3-1. Summary of Characterization Information for Each Treatability Group.....	3-1
Table 4-1. Summary of Treatment Information for Each Treatability Group. ....	4-2
Table 5-1. Storage Volume and Number of Containers for Selected Hanford Locations.....	5-1

## ACRONYMS

ALARA	As Low As Reasonably Achievable
BDAT	best demonstrated available technology
CERCLA	<i>Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980</i>
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CH	contact handled
CH2M HILL	CH2M HILL Hanford Group, Inc.
CWC	Central Waste Complex
CY	calendar year
D&D	decontamination and decommissioning
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOE-ORP	U.S. Department of Energy, Office of River Protection
DOE-RL	U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office
DST	double-shell tank
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ERDF	Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility
ETF	200 Area Effluent Treatment Facility
FH	Fluor Hanford
FY	fiscal year
HEPA	high-efficiency particulate air (filter)
HLV	high-level vault
HLW	high-level waste
HSTF	Hexone Storage and Treatment Facility
HWTU	Hazardous Waste Treatment Unit
IMUST	inactive miscellaneous underground storage tank
LDR	land disposal restrictions
LERF	Liquid Effluent Retention Facility
LLBG	Low-Level Burial Grounds
MLLW	mixed low-level waste
MW	mixed waste
NA or N/A	not applicable
O/C	organic/carbonaceous
ORP	Office of River Protection
OU	operable unit
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PPF	Plutonium Finishing Plant

PMW	potential mixed waste
PMWT	potential mixed waste table
PNNL	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
PSTF	Purgewater Storage and Treatment Facility
PUREX	plutonium-uranium extraction (process)
RADTU	Radioactive Acid Digestion Test Unit
RCRA	<i>Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976</i>
REC	radiochemical engineering cell
REDOX	reduction-oxidation (process)
RH	remote handled
RLWS	Radioactive Liquid Waste System
ROD	record of decision
S&M	surveillance & maintenance
SNF	Spent Nuclear Fuel
SNM	Special Nuclear Material
SST	single-shell tank
Tri-Party Agreement	<i>Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (TPA)</i>
TRU	transuranic (waste)
TRUM	transuranic mixed (waste)
TRUSAFA	224-T Transuranic Waste Storage and Assay Facility
TSCA	<i>Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976</i>
TSD	treatment, storage, and/or disposal
WAC	<i>Washington Administrative Code</i>
WESF	Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility
WHF	waste handling facility
WIDS	Waste Information Data System
WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
WRAP	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility
WSCF	Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility
WSRd	waste specification record
WTP	Waste Treatment Plant

## METRIC CONVERSION CHART

Into metric units

Out of metric units

If you know	Multiply by	To get	If you know	Multiply by	To get
<b>Length</b>			<b>Length</b>		
inches	25.40	millimeters	millimeters	0.03937	inches
inches	2.54	centimeters	centimeters	0.393701	inches
feet	0.3048	meters	meters	3.28084	feet
yards	0.9144	meters	meters	1.0936	yards
miles (statute)	1.60934	kilometers	kilometers	0.62137	miles (statute)
<b>Area</b>			<b>Area</b>		
square inches	6.4516	square centimeters	square centimeters	0.155	square inches
square feet	0.09290304	square meters	square meters	10.7639	square feet
square yards	0.8361274	square meters	square meters	1.19599	square yards
square miles	2.59	square kilometers	square kilometers	0.386102	square miles
acres	0.404687	hectares	hectares	2.47104	acres
<b>Mass (weight)</b>			<b>Mass (weight)</b>		
ounces (avoirdupois)	28.34952	grams	grams	0.035274	ounces (avoirdupois)
pounds	0.45359237	kilograms	kilograms	2.204623	pounds (avoirdupois)
tons (short)	0.9071847	tons (metric)	tons (metric)	1.1023	tons (short)
<b>Volume</b>			<b>Volume</b>		
ounces (U.S., liquid)	29.57353	milliliters	milliliters	0.033814	ounces (U.S., liquid)
quarts (U.S., liquid)	0.9463529	liters	liters	1.0567	quarts (U.S., liquid)
gallons (U.S., liquid)	3.7854	liters	liters	0.26417	gallons (U.S., liquid)
cubic feet	0.02831685	cubic meters	cubic meters	35.3147	cubic feet
cubic yards	0.7645549	cubic meters	cubic meters	1.308	cubic yards
<b>Temperature</b>			<b>Temperature</b>		
Fahrenheit	subtract 32 then multiply by 5/9ths	Celsius	Celsius	multiply by 9/5ths, then add 32	Fahrenheit
<b>Energy</b>			<b>Energy</b>		
kilowatt hour	3,412	British thermal unit	British thermal unit	0.000293	kilowatt hour
kilowatt	0.94782	British thermal unit per second	British thermal unit per second	1.055	kilowatt
<b>Force/Pressure</b>			<b>Force/Pressure</b>		
pounds (force) per square inch	6.894757	kilopascals	kilopascals	0.14504	pounds per square inch

06/2001

Source: *Engineering Unit Conversions*, M. R. Lindeburg, PE., Third Ed., 1993, Professional Publications, Inc., Belmont, California.

## CALENDAR YEAR 2006 HANFORD SITE MIXED WASTE LAND DISPOSAL RESTRICTIONS SUMMARY REPORT

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The information in the *Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report* (CY 2006 LDR Summary Report) is prepared in accordance with *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order* (Tri-Party Agreement) (Ecology et al. 2003a) Milestone M-26-01Q. The CY 2006 LDR Summary Report reports on the status of Hanford Site land disposal restricted mixed waste, other mixed waste, and other waste the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have agreed to include in this report. The reporting period for this document is from January 1, 2006, to December 31, 2006.

The content and format for the CY 2006 LDR Summary Report was established in Tri-Party Agreement change request M-26-06-01 following a pilot activity for the CY 2005 reporting period. The following text has been reproduced from the change request for meeting Milestone M-26-01Q:

“Submit an annual Hanford Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR) Summary Report in accordance with the agreement requirements to cover the period from 1/1 through 12/31 of the reporting year. The Hanford Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report will contain the following elements:

- Section 1.0: Introduction
- Section 1.1: CY 20xx LDR Summary Report Overview (where xx will be the reporting year)
- Section 1.2: Summary Inventory of Waste Treatment Groups and Forecast Generation Rates
- Section 1.3: Potential Mixed Waste
- Section 2.0: Assessments of Mixed Waste Storage Areas and Potential Mixed Waste
- Section 2.1: Introduction
- Section 2.2: Assessment Schedules
- Section 3.0: Summary of Characterization Information
- Section 4.0: Summary of Treatment Information
- Section 5.0: Storage Volume and Container Numbers for Selected Storage Locations
- Section 6.0: References
- Table 1-1: Stored Volumes of Mixed Waste and Generation Projections
- Table 1-2: Treatability Group Summary of Storage, Characterization, and Treatment Activities
- Table 1-3: Explanation of Table 1-4, Potential Mixed Waste
- Table 1-4: Potential Mixed Waste
- Table 1-5: Historical List of Materials Deleted From Potential Mixed Waste Table
- Table 2-1: Summary of DOE-RL Assessment Results
- Table 2-2: DOE-RL Assessments for Calendar Years 2005 Through 2007 (updated for next three years until no assessments are scheduled)
- Table 2-3: Summary of DOE-ORP Assessment Results
- Table 3-1: Summary of Characterization Information for Each Treatability Group
- Table 4-1: Summary of Treatment Information for Each Treatability Group
- Table 5-1: Storage Volume and Number of Containers for Selected Hanford Locations

Table 5-1 will contain the storage volume and the number of containers reported for the following Hanford Site locations: CWC, LLBG, WRAP, PFP, T Plant Complex, WSCF, 325 HWTU, 324, 327, 200 ETF, and 222-S.

NOTE: The list for Table 5-1 may change periodically. The change will be made via approval of the applicable Project Managers Meeting minutes documented and approved on or before 11/30 of each year. If no changes to the list are indicated, the list will remain unchanged."

For the CY 2006 LDR Summary Report, no reporting location changes were approved through the Project Manager Meetings for Table 5-1.

## 1.1 CY 2006 LDR SUMMARY REPORT OVERVIEW

This report presents waste stream information provided in accordance with Section 6.1 of the Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order (Tri-Party Agreement) Action Plan and supporting milestones and documentation. The waste streams reported under the Tri-Party Agreement include those described in the requirements of the *Federal Facility Compliance Act of 1992* for U.S. Department of Energy sites that prepare a Site Treatment Plan along with other waste streams required under Tri-Party Agreement documentation. Although the Hanford Site is exempt from the requirements to prepare a Site Treatment Plan, the LDR Summary Report is considered equivalent to a Site Treatment Plan. The *Federal Facility Compliance Act of 1992* was enacted, in part, to address the inability of some mixed waste to meet requirements of the storage prohibition in Title 40 *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 268.50. *Washington Administrative Code* (WAC) 173-303-140, incorporates the federal storage prohibition by reference. EPA guidance (EPA 1990) indicates which mixed waste is subject to the storage prohibition.

Mixed waste is not subject to the storage prohibition until generated and managed in a 90-day accumulation area or a treatment, storage, and/or disposal (TSD) unit, or the waste is managed at a Hanford Site location managing mixed waste pursuant to the *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act* (CERCLA) of 1980 offsite rule (40 CFR 300.440). Although mixed waste managed in a 90-day accumulation area is not considered stored, the EPA has indicated that the storage prohibition clock begins when mixed waste is managed in the 90-day accumulation area. Mixed waste is reported here as projected waste when the waste meets either of the following criteria.

- The waste has not been generated and therefore is not subject to the storage prohibition.
- The waste is managed in either a satellite accumulation area, a 90-day accumulation area, or is CERCLA mixed waste destined for treatment at the Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility (ERDF).

The CY 2006 LDR Summary Report provides aggregate waste stream data based on a set of waste treatability groups and also provides selected data on location-specific sources of waste as stated in the M-026-01Q Milestone description. The waste from location-specific sources is included in the appropriate treatability groups. Per agreement with Ecology on February 6, 2003, mixed waste generated and sent directly to disposal does not need to be reported in the LDR report (Ecology et al. 2003b). If any storage of the mixed waste occurs, the mixed waste must be reported. Mixed waste currently in satellite accumulation areas or in 90-day accumulation areas is not considered current stored inventory, but is included as forecast waste generation.

Other materials, items, etc., currently on the Hanford Site that might be designated as mixed waste in the future, are described in Section 1.3, and are identified as potential mixed waste.

## 1.2 SUMMARY INVENTORY OF WASTE TREATMENT GROUPS AND FORECAST GENERATION RATES

The volume of mixed waste currently in storage and the volume projected to be generated and subsequently stored at Hanford during the next 5 calendar years are presented in Table 1-1. Mixed waste managed only in Hanford Site generator locations (satellite accumulation areas and 90-day accumulation areas), and then sent directly offsite for treatment is not reported. Table 1-2 presents an overall summary of the storage, characterization, treatment, and disposal activities for the treatability groups. Table 1-2 is a collection of information from the following three tables: Table 1-1; Table 3-1; and Table 4-1. Data on waste volumes in these tables are rounded to two significant figures. Stored waste volumes are reported either by the actual waste volume or by the waste container volume. In general, stored waste volumes are reported by actual waste volume unless the data is obtained from the solid waste information tracking system. The treatability group breakout of retrievably stored waste is described in the project management plan required by Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-91-03.

The Waste Treatment Plant (WTP) is a new TSD unit being constructed to treat double-shell tank (DST) waste and single-shell tank (SST) waste. The WTP Project Management schedule projects that mixed waste will not be generated at the Waste Treatment Plant until after CY 2017, outside of the five-year forecasting window for this report.

## 1.3 POTENTIAL MIXED WASTE

The potential mixed waste table (PMWT) (Tables 1-3 through 1-5) includes materials that have not been generated as mixed waste and waste that has not been actively managed as mixed waste. The materials included are those that reasonably could be expected to be generated as mixed waste at some future time. The materials included in the PMWT (equipment, piping, etc.) are those that currently are not being used and do not have a clear path for reuse or recycling. The waste that has not been actively managed as mixed waste is, in many cases, at *Resource Conservation and Recovery Act* (RCRA) of 1976 or CERCLA past-practice units under the Tri-Party Agreement. Past-practice waste is waste that was abandoned before the first effective LDR date in Washington State, August 19, 1987. Classification of waste management units as RCRA or CERCLA past-practice units is described in Section 3.0 of the Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan. When cleanup actions occur in the operable unit for these past-practice units, mixed waste could, or is expected to be generated. The PMWT also includes a similar category of materials currently in standby for a potential future use. The table was developed for the following reasons:

- To acknowledge that materials might become mixed waste at a future date
- To begin identifying data gaps (e.g., whether the material would be designated as mixed waste) and facilitate discussions to establish a path forward toward disposition for those materials eventually identified as mixed waste.

As a result of discussions with Ecology and EPA, the following categories of materials have not been included in the PMWT.

- Generated mixed waste. This mixed waste is included in treatability groups and location-specific waste streams.

- Contaminated soil sites, cribs, ponds, ditches, trenches, etc., considered engineered disposal units. [However, the materials would be included in a LDR report location-specific waste streams when management or disposition activities associated with those units are expected to result in the generation of mixed waste requiring treatment in the next 5 years.]
- The building structures themselves, including contaminated walls, floors, floor sweepings, dust, etc. Building equipment, such as ventilation system components and building utilities that would be considered part of the structure, also is not included.
- Equipment and chemicals being used.

The PMWT includes information on the assessments performed or scheduled to meet the DOE assessment requirement of the LDR storage report. Section 2.0 provides more information concerning assessments.

The PMWT also includes known and proposed schedule information. This information can include the following, as applicable:

- Proposed dates for assessments
- Operable units that encompass the facility or unit
- Existing documentation and milestones or schedules that indicate plans that will address the potential mixed waste (PMW)
- Date to complete data gap plan
- Start date for major negotiations such as facility transition or deactivation.

Table 1-1. Stored Volumes of Mixed Waste and Generation Projections.

Treatability Group Name	Description <sup>1</sup>	Current Inventory (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2007 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2008 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2009 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2010 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2011 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>
221-T Containment Building	Equipment (e.g., jumpers, tanks, centrifuges, etc.), other debris (e.g., pieces of concrete, etc.), and nondebris (e.g., sandblasting grit) generated during canyon deck and/or process cell cleanout, or from treatment and/or decontamination activities.	58	0	0	0	0	0
221-T Tank System	Liquid mixed waste with settled solids/sludge (waste also contains PCBs at TSCA regulated concentrations)	9.2	0	0	0	0	0
222-S Laboratory Complex	This waste stream consists of many different inorganic and organic solids and liquids that are RCRA regulated or have been contaminated with inorganic and organic regulated dangerous waste constituents, including PCBs. This waste stream also includes hazardous debris.	4.0	11	11	11	11	11
222-S T8 Tunnel	This waste stream is comprised of debris that has come into contact with waste from the 219-S Waste Handling Facility (WHF) tank system waste. The debris is designated as remote-handled mixed low-level waste (RH MLW) as a result of this contact.	0.2	0	0	0	0	0
241-CX Tank System <sup>3</sup>	Residual tank waste resulting from REDOX, PUREX, and Semireworks processes.	2.6	0	0	0	0	0
324 Bldg. REC Waste	Radioactive waste containing regulated quantities of toxic heavy metals. Mixed waste residue will be generated from the future REC decontamination and deactivation activities and disposed as CERCLA waste in accordance with M-094-00.	5.0	0	0	0	0	0
325 HWTU	This waste stream consists of many different inorganic and organic solids and liquids contaminated with inorganic and organic regulated dangerous waste constituents, including PCBs. This waste stream also includes hazardous debris. WSRds in this waste stream include PNNL-930-05 and PNNL-931-04.	4.0	12	12	12	12	12
400 Area WMU	Mixed waste generated from Hanford activities, primarily from the deactivation of the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF).	1.4	0.1	0	0	0	0
B Plant Cell 4	Waste resulted from WESF hot cell maintenance waste (i.e., manipulator boots, light bulbs, HEPA filters, misc. debris). No additional waste will be stored in this location as the B Plant Complex is under long-term S&M.	1.4	0	0	0	0	0
B Plant Containment Building	Stream consists of failed equipment (e.g., process jumpers, pumps, etc.) used in the 221-B canyon. Contaminated debris/equipment derived from the processing of "P" listed wastes for the recovery of strontium and cesium. Also contains elemental lead used for counterbalances and shielding. No additional waste will be stored at this location. The B Plant Complex is under long-term S&M.	290,000 kg	0	0	0	0	0
Cesium and Strontium Capsules	Cesium and strontium were reclaimed from Tank Farm waste as a product, separated and purified at B Plant, and converted to dry salt for storage at WESF. The cesium and strontium capsules were declared waste in 1997 with the application for a Part A, Form 3, permit application. The subject waste consists of 1,335 cesium capsules and 601 strontium capsules. The capsules are stored in pool cells at WESF.	2.0	0	0	0	0	0
DST Waste	Basic aqueous solution that might contain suspended material and/or settled solids (sludge and saltcake). Waste streams are treated with sodium hydroxide and sodium nitrite to minimize tank corrosion and to address compatibility issues. Waste has been stored in the DST System from 1970 to the present.	100,000	38	38	38	38	38

Table 1-1. Stored Volumes of Mixed Waste and Generation Projections.

Treatability Group Name	Description <sup>1</sup>	Current Inventory (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2007 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2008 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2009 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2010 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2011 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>
ERDF—Treatment	This waste stream reflects mixed waste that requires treatment prior to disposal at ERDF. The waste is stored at the operable unit, and is shipped to ERDF where waste treatment and/or disposal occurs.	210	14,000	20,000	11,000	16,000	19,000
HSTF	Residual heel content remaining from Reduction/Oxidation (REDOX) Process.	2.1	0	0	0	0	0
LERF/ETF Liquid Waste	CERCLA and RCRA wastewaters are sent to the LERF/ETF for treatment and disposal.	37,000	22,000	21,000	18,000	17,000	16,000
MLL W-01 - LDR Compliant Waste	WSRds: BLS, EH3, EHM, EHR, EHB, EHD, H3L, EHS, 903, 930, 931; Waste with WSRd BLS consists of soils (dirt, sand, gravel, rocks, etc.) excavated from the various waste tank farms. The waste was incidentally contaminated with tank waste; therefore, the waste is designated with F001 through F005 based on the "contained-in" policy. The waste is typically packaged in drums and boxes. Remaining WSRds include waste that consists of soils (dirt, sand, gravel, rocks, etc.), treated debris, other particulates, and solidified liquids. All waste forms are anticipated to contain LDR compliant levels of dangerous waste constituents. Subject waste also includes the currently stored inventory of LDR compliant wastes and the forecast LDR compliant waste that comes directly from the generator (e.g., debris waste items, deactivated waste, stabilized waste, and waste meeting LDRs as generated).	300	14	45	52	24	24
MLL W-02 - Inorganic Non-Debris	This treatability group is for non-debris waste that contains hazardous constituents that either requires non-thermal treatment (specified technology) or non-thermal treatment is BDAT for meeting the applicable LDR treatment standards (concentration-based WSRds). The applicable WSRds for this treatability group are: ALI, EH4, EHP, H3C, H3G, H3M, H3S, IXJ, LPI, PAI, SSA, 420, 421, 422, 425, 426, 428, 506, 507, 521, 523, 524, 525, 900, 901, 902, 904. This waste consists of many different inorganic solids (e.g., particulates, absorbed liquids, sludges, resin beads, soils) and lab packs that are contaminated with regulated metals and other inorganics. This waste treatability group does not include hazardous debris other than incidental debris material commingled with the non-debris.	360	5.0	11	11	11	12
MLL W-03 - Organic Non-Debris	This treatability group is for non-debris waste that contains hazardous constituents that either requires thermal treatment (specified technology) or thermal treatment is BDAT for meeting the applicable LDR treatment standards (concentration-based standards). Stabilization of the thermal treatment residue may also be required. The applicable WSRds for this treatability group are: ALO, IDW, IXO, LPA, LPO, PAO, SOC, SOE, SOW, TFS, TSC, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 310, 311, 315, 320, 321, 330, 331, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 40A, 40B, 427, 429, 430, 431, 432, 43A, 43C, 45A, 46A, 47A, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 50A, 50B, 50C, 520, 522, 52A, 53A, 700, 701, 720, 721, 90A, 920, 921, 922, 923. This waste stream consists of many different inorganic and organic solids (e.g., particulates, absorbed liquids, sludges, resins, soils) and labpacks that are contaminated with organic regulated dangerous waste constituents, including PCBs. This waste stream does not include hazardous debris other than incidental debris material commingled with the non-debris.	610	6.6	16	16	21	17

Table 1-1. Stored Volumes of Mixed Waste and Generation Projections.

Treatability Group Name	Description <sup>1</sup>	Current Inventory (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2007 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2008 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2009 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2010 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2011 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>
MLLW-04A - O/C Hazardous Debris	This treatability group is for waste that meets the definition of hazardous debris as defined in 40 CFR 268.2, and the waste contains physical and/or chemical constituents that meet the definition of organic/carbonaceous waste as defined in WAC 173-303-040. The physical characteristics include paper, plastic, wood, rubber, rags, and lesser quantities of metallic and inorganic waste components. Applicable WSRDs may include: BAB, MGD, PFD, PUD, WDD, H3D, RCB, BLD, DBR, UUU, 334, 600, 601, 603, 605, 606, 607, 60A, 60B, 620, 621, 622, 625, 626, and 627.	3,600	2.7	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.2
MLLW-04B - Non-O/C Hazardous Debris	This treatability group is for waste that meets the definition of hazardous debris as defined in 40 CFR 268.2, and the waste does not contain physical and/or chemical organic/carbonaceous waste constituents in excess of 10% as defined in WAC 173-303-040. The physical characteristics include metals, inorganic debris items and lesser quantities of O/C waste components (paper, plastic, wood, etc.). Applicable WSRDs may include: ASB, 640, 641, 645, 646, and 647.	11	0.2	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.91
MLLW-05 - Radioactive Lead Solids	This treatability group is for waste that is determined to meet the "Radioactive Lead Solids Subcategory" as described in 40 CFR 268.40. Applicable WSRDs for this treatability group are: EPB, 800, 801, 802, 803. This treatability group consists of many different forms of radioactive lead solids including bricks, sheets, shot-filled blankets, lead-lined debris items where the lead comprises more than 50% of the waste matrix. The waste was and is generated by many onsite generating organizations and offsite generators.	12	0.2	0.47	0.21	0.21	0.21
MLLW-06 - Mercury Wastes	This treatability group is for waste that is determined to meet the "Elemental Mercury Contaminated with Radioactive Materials" subcategory as described in 40 CFR 268.40. Applicable WSRDs for this treatability group are: EHG, HHG, 810, 811, and 812. This treatability group consists of liquid mercury, partially amalgamated mercury, mercury spill cleanups, and some debris waste items packaged in with the mercury waste.	10	0	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21
MLLW-07 - RH and Large Container	WSRds that comprise this treatability group are: DBL, HRW, 450, 550, 650, and all MLLW WSRds (except for 930 and 931) associated with waste packages that are ≥10m <sup>3</sup> in size. This treatability group consists of the following waste types: (1) Large containers of MLLW. Large containers are defined as ≥10m <sup>3</sup> in size, (2) Remote handled (RH) MLLW packages. RH-MLLW is defined as waste packages that have an external surface dose rate of >20mR/hr on contact, and (3) RH-MLLW that is shielded down to contact handling levels for safe handling and storage. Shielding can be internal, external, and/or integral to the waste container.	3,000	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.2
	This waste has various chemical (organics, inorganics, metals) and physical (particulates, debris, sludges, etc.) characteristics. Many different regulated constituents could be represented in this waste stream; however, the primary waste type is heterogeneous debris originating from SST/DST Systems operations and from waste retrieval operations associated with TRU-Retrieval.						

Table 1-1. Stored Volumes of Mixed Waste and Generation Projections.

Treatability Group Name	Description <sup>1</sup>	Current Inventory (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2007 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2008 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2009 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2010 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2011 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>
MLLW-08 - Unique Waste	WSRds: BER, 821, 823, 84A. This waste stream consists of unique wastes that requires special processing not typically employed for the other MLLW waste streams. Example includes beryllium powder, requiring RMETL or RTHRM. See 40 CFR 268.42 for the definitions of RMETL or RTHRM.	0.42	0	0	0	0	0
MLLW-09 - Radioactive Batteries	WSRds: BAF and 830. This waste consists of mercury, silver and cadmium batteries from various onsite locations and from offsite generators.	15	0	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21
MLLW-10 - Reactive Metals	WSRds: ENA, 44A, 44B, 820, 822, 82A. This waste consists of water-reactive metals and compounds, typically including sodium metal. May also consist of water-reactive cyanides.	27	0	0	0	0.26	0
PUREX Plant	Concrete rubble contaminated with trace chromium as a corrosion product. No additional waste will be stored at this location as the PUREX Plant is under long-term S&M.	1.0	0	0	0	0	0
PUREX Storage Tunnels	Varies from very large equipment vessels with lead counterweights to very fine mixed waste powder in canisters. Waste receipt into the TSD unit began in 1960. The TSD unit waste inventory list is contained in the Hanford Facility RCRA Permit, Attachment 28, Chapter 3.0, Waste Analysis Plan. Waste is expected to contain a combination of TRU and TRUM.	2,800	0	0	0	0	0
Purgewater	Groundwater contaminated with various constituents.	3,700	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100
SST Waste	Basic aqueous slurry with layers of saltcake and/or sludge. The sludge consists of solids (i.e., hydrous metal oxides) precipitated from the neutralization of acid waste. The saltcake consists of the various salts formed from the evaporation of water.	110,000	0	0	0	0	0
TRUM-CH Special Processing	TRUM waste from various generating activities around the Hanford Site. The waste contains metals including steel shielding, plastic/polyurethane, wood, paper/cardboard, glass, filters, soil, miscellaneous/unknown/other, rags, lead and lead shielding, plexiglas, styrofoam, asbestos, rubber, glass, sorbents/kitty litter, cement and concrete. Package size includes any CH TRUM waste that is not in a container up to 55 gallons in size, an 85-gallon overpack, a WIPP Standard Waste Box, or a Ten Drum Overpack containing certified waste.	4,200	0	0	0	0	0
TRUM-CH Standard Processing	The waste came from various facilities on and off the Hanford Site. The waste contains plastic/polyurethane, rubber, iron-based metal, soil, paper, cardboard, lead, rags, cement, stainless steel, wood, styrofoam, glass, absorbent/kitty litter, filters, lead shielding, carbon steel, fiberglass, brick/firebrick, plastic liner, shielding, concrete, animal waste, paints, ceramics, sludges, asbestos, aluminum, diatomaceous earth, resins, copper metal, lead, water, floor sweepings, batteries, leather, liquid, teflon, cork, cotton, light bulbs, urethane and wax. Waste packages in this treatability group include containers up to 55 gallons, 85-gallon overpack containers, WIPP Standard Waste boxes and Ten Drum Overpacks containing certified waste. Any other package size is included in the TRUM large container treatability group. Note that some TRUM-CH containers will be found to be TRUM-RH upon repackaging or processing of the waste.	4,200	28	9.1	8.3	47	52.7

Table 1-1. Stored Volumes of Mixed Waste and Generation Projections.

Treatability Group Name	Description <sup>1</sup>	Current Inventory (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2007 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2008 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2009 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2010 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>	Generation Projection 2011 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>2</sup>
TRUM-RH	The waste consists of inner container, iron-based metals, lead, soil, lead shielding, and steel shielding. Waste is from the clean-out of hot cells from research/development laboratories. The relative waste quantity is small, because the waste matrix contains a large percentage of lead and steel shielding materials. TRUM is considered remote handled if any waste component has a contact dose rate >200 mrem/hr. In addition, in order to provide an estimate of what might be remote handled, TRUM will be reported as remote handled if the package is known to contain lead shielding.	210	4.9	13.8	13.8	630	620

<sup>2</sup> Waste specification record (WSRd) indicates waste treatment and/or disposal pathway.

<sup>3</sup> Volume numbers have been rounded to two significant figures.

<sup>4</sup> The stored volume reported contains uncertainty as to the actual volume (DOE 2005).

AOC area of contamination

BDAT best demonstrated available technology

CERCLA *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980*

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CH contact handled

CSB Canister Storage Building

CWC Central Waste Complex

D&D decontamination and decommissioning

DST double-shell tank

ERDF Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility

ETF 200 Area Effluent Treatment Facility

HEPA high-efficiency particulate air

HLV high-level vault

HWTU Hazardous Waste Treatment Unit

HLW immobilized high-level waste

ILAW immobilized low-activity waste

land disposal restrictions

LERF Liquid Effluent Retention Facility

MLLW mixed low-level waste

organic/carboneous  
operable unit

polychlorinated biphenyl  
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

Plutonium-Uranium Extraction (Plant)  
*Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*

RCRA radiochemical engineering cells  
REDOX Reduction-Oxidation (Plant)

REDOX  
RH remote handled  
ROD record of decision  
S&M surveillance and maintenance  
SST single-shell tank

transuranic

transuranic mixed  
*Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976*

*Washington Administrative Code*  
Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility

WMU Waste Management Unit

WHF Waste Handling Facility

WIPP Waste Isolation Pilot Plant

WSRd Waste Specification Record

Table 1-2. Treatability Group Summary of Storage, Characterization, and Treatment Activities.

Treatability Group Name	Current Inventory (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	Projected Generation Volume 2007 through 2011 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	Planned Characterization Schedule	Treatment Process	Projected Volume to be Treated 2007 through 2011 (m <sup>3</sup> )
221-T Containment Building	58	0	Completed.	Not yet determined.	0
221-T Tank System	9.2	0	Will be done in conjunction with T Plant Complex Canyon disposition.	Not yet determined.	0
222-S Laboratory Complex	4.0	55	Ongoing.	Commercial - Stabilization, Commercial - Thermal	59
222-S T8 Tunnel	0.2	0	Will be done in conjunction with 222-S Laboratory building disposition.	Not yet determined.	0
241-CX Tank System <sup>2</sup>	2.6	0	To be determined through development of 200-IS-1 documentation.	Not yet determined.	0
324 Building REC Waste	5.0	0	Completed.	As necessary, ERDF stabilization or macroencapsulation.	0
325 HWTU	4.0	60	Ongoing.	HW TU, Commercial - Stabilization, Commercial - Thermal	64
400 Area WMU	1.4	0.1	To be determined	Deactivation and conversion to sodium hydroxide.	0
B Plant Cell 4	1.4	0	To be determined via Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan, Section 8.0.	Not yet determined.	0
B Plant Containment Building	290,000 kg	0	To be determined via Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan, Section 8.0.	Not yet determined.	0
Cesium and Strontium Capsules	2.0	0	Completed.	Not yet determined.	0
DST Waste	100,000	190	Ongoing.	WTP vitrification.	0
ERDF—Treatment	210	80,000	Ongoing.	ERDF treatment.	80,210
HSTF	2.1	0	To be determined through development of 200-IS-1 documentation.	Not yet determined.	0
LERF/ETF Liquid Waste	37,000	96,000	Ongoing.	ETF.	133,000
MLLW-01 – LDR Compliant Waste	300	160	Completed.	No treatment required.	No treatment required.
MLLW-02 - Inorganic Non-Debris	360	50	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup>	Stabilization.	401 <sup>3</sup>
MLLW-03 - Organic Non-Debris	610	76	M-091-12 and M-091-42 <sup>3</sup>	Thermal.	720 <sup>3</sup>

Table 1-2. Treatability Group Summary of Storage, Characterization, and Treatment Activities.

Treatability Group Name	Current Inventory (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	Projected Generation Volume 2007 through 2011 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	Planned Characterization Schedule	Treatment Process	Projected Volume to be Treated 2007 through 2011 (m <sup>3</sup> )
MLL.W-04A - O/C Hazardous Debris	3,600	20	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup>	Macroencapsulation	3,850 <sup>3</sup>
MLL.W-04B - Non-O/C Hazardous Debris	11	3.8	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup>	Macroencapsulation.	12.1 <sup>3</sup>
MLL.W-05 - Radioactive Lead Solids	12	1.3	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup>	Macroencapsulation.	13.7 <sup>3</sup>
MLL.W-06 - Mercury Wastes	10	0.84	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup>	Amalgamation.	10.6 <sup>3</sup>
MLL.W-07 - RH and Large Container	3,000	36	M-091-15 and M-091-43 <sup>3</sup>	M-091-43.	900 <sup>3</sup>
MLL.W-08 - Unique Waste	0.42	0	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup>	To be determined.	0.42 <sup>3</sup>
MLL.W-09 - Radioactive Batteries	15	0.84	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup>	Macroencapsulation.	15.6 <sup>3</sup>
MLL.W-10 - Reactive Metals	27	0.26	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup>	Deactivation with selected stabilization.	27 <sup>3</sup>
PUREX Plant	1.0	0	To be determined via Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan, Section 8.0.	Not yet determined.	0
PUREX Storage Tunnel	2,800	0	To be determined via Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan, Section 8.0.	Not yet determined.	0
Purgewater	3,700	5,700	Ongoing.	Solar evaporation at PSTRF and ETF treatment.	9,400
SST Waste	110,000	0	Ongoing.	WTP vitrification.	0
TRUM-CH Special Processing	4,200	0	M-091-44 <sup>3</sup>	M-091 TRUM.	TBD <sup>3</sup>
TRUM-CH Standard Processing	4,200	150	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup>	WRAP Facility and/or T Plant Complex.	TBD <sup>3</sup>
TRUM-RH	210	1,300	M-091-44 <sup>3</sup>	M-091 TRUM.	TBD <sup>3</sup>

Volume numbers in this table have been rounded to two significant figures. Due to rounding, the in some cases, the summation of Table 1-1 numbers do not add up to the numbers in this Table.

<sup>2</sup> The stored volume reported contains uncertainty as to the actual volume (DOE 2005).

<sup>3</sup> Characterization and Treatment will be performed in accordance with applicable M-091 milestones. See the M-091 milestones to determine what portion of the total volume requires treatment under those milestones.

CH	contact handled	LDR	land disposal restrictions	REC	radiochemical engineering cells
DST	double-shell tank	LERF	Liquid Effluent Retention Facility	RH	remote handled
ERDF	Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility	MLLW	mixed low-level waste	SST	single-shell tank
ETF	200 Area Effluent Treatment Facility	O/C	organic/carbonaceous	TRUM	Transuranic mixed
HSTF	Hexone Storage and Treatment Facility	PSTF	Purgewater Storage and Treatment Facility	WIP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
HWTU	hazardous waste treatment unit	PUREX	Plutonium-Uranium Extraction (Plant)	WMU	Waste Management Unit
				WRAP	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility
RCRA					WTP
					Waste Treatment Project

*Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976*

Table 1-3. Explanation of Table 1-4, Potential Mixed Waste.

Column	Column Title	Content Definition
A	Company, project	Self-explanatory.
B	Common name or description	Self-explanatory.
C	Facility number	Self-explanatory.
D	Solid waste with potential for mixed waste not integral to the building or structure (no use)	“Stuff” (e.g., equipment, materials) that is not currently in use and for which no future use is currently known, but for which the final disposition has not yet been determined. The “stuff” is not currently considered mixed waste and may or may not currently be contaminated, but includes items with the potential for becoming mixed waste, depending on future decisions regarding the ultimate use and disposition. “Stuff” integral to the building is not to be included. “None” in this column indicates the project/facility contains no “stuff” known to be in this category.
E	Materials with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use)	“Stuff” (e.g., equipment, materials) that is currently in “standby” and may at some point, if it becomes waste, designate as mixed waste. Provide details for standby equipment/material that has a clear use or path for reuse/recycling, but may at some point, if/when it becomes waste, designate as mixed waste. A future use must be documented for material to be included in column E of the Potential Mixed Waste Table. Documentation of the future use of items in column E shall be available upon request. <b>Columns D and E encompass contents of buildings and structures only. Floor sweepings, dust, etc., are not included. The structures themselves, including contaminated walls, floors, etc., are not included. Equipment and chemicals that are in use are not included.</b>
F	DOE assessment of storage methods	Indicate when the DOE assessment for the purpose of meeting LDR report requirements is scheduled. Provide an alternative explanation if required (e.g., the assessment completion date, key facility in surveillance and maintenance phase, further DOE LDR assessment not needed).
G	Schedule information	Include schedule information relative to materials detailed in these columns. Include references to pertinent documents (closure plans, RODs) and identify any applicable operable units or other Tri-Party Agreement drivers for remediation. Provide a date for completing the data gap plan, if applicable. Also, for major negotiations related to the path forward for the potential mixed waste, such as the start of facility transition or deactivation, provide a date for starting the negotiations with the regulators.
H	Integrating factors	Include factors that should be considered when determining when negotiations should occur. These include factors such as relative threat to human health and the environment of no action, ties to other activities such as operable unit remediation, ties of action to facility missions, etc.

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or description	Facility number	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
100-K Area	105-KE and 105-KW	105-KE: Old electrical equipment, lead blankets, neutron detectors with boron tri-fluoride tubes 105-KW: None	105-KE: Oil drained from equipment, chemicals in storage cabinets, and lead used as shielding for Ion Exchange Columns.  105-KW: Underwater lead	105-KE: Oil drained from equipment, chemicals in storage cabinets, and lead used as shielding for Ion Exchange Columns.  105-KW: Underwater lead	Completed 2 <sup>nd</sup> quarter CY 2005  Starting TPA negotiations: NA (completed)	Data gap plan: Completed 2 <sup>nd</sup> quarter CY 2005 CY 2005 Starting TPA negotiations: NA (completed)  105-KE: Anticipated to be dispositioned by the end of FY2007.  105-KW: Anticipated to be dispositioned by the end of FY2012.	None
Fluor Hanford Inc., PFP Closure Project	216-Z-9 Crib Soil Removal Glovebox (inactive)	216Z9A, B & C	Soil Removal Glovebox and mining equipment. Air compressor (potential for regulated oil). Residual contamination within glovebox (potential for mixed wastes during cleanout). Note: Glovebox probably will function as containment when conducting facility cleanout/transition activities.	None	DOE assessment: Completed 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter CY 2001	To be dispositioned as CERCLA non-time critical removal action.  Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-083-41, Complete Transition and Dismantlement of the 216-Z-9 Crib Complex (due date: September 30, 2010)  Data gap plan: NA Starting TPA negotiations: NA (completed)	None

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or description	Facility number	Solid waste, with potential to become solid waste, not integral to the building or structure (in use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
Plutonium Finishing Plant	234-ZZ	Radioactive Acid Digestion Test Unit (RADTU) Gloveboxes (potential for residual contamination during cleanout), tanks, piping, lead, control and processing equipment including the RMA/RMC lines.. Note: Gloveboxes to be maintained and used for containment when conducting facility cleanout/transition activities.	Residues and low-grade SNM solids.	DOE assessment: Completed 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter CY 2001	To be dispositioned as CERCLA non-time critical removal action. M-083-44, Complete Transition of the 234-ZZ (Plutonium Conversion Facility) and ZA (Plutonium Conversion Support Facility), 243-Z Low Level Waste Treatment Facility, 291-Z Exhaust Building, and 291-Z-1 Exhaust Stack to support PFP Decommissioning, due September 30, 2015.	Data gap plan: NA Starting TPA negotiations: NA (completed)	None

Fluor Hanford, Inc., PFP Closure Project

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or description	Facility number	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
Plutonium Reclamation Facility	236Z	Pu nitrate reclamation tanks, piping, and control equipment. Miscellaneous treatment tanks, piping, and control equipment. Containment gloveboxes (reclamation and miscellaneous treatment). Chem. prep tanks, piping, and control equipment. Residual contamination within inactive process equipment and gloveboxes (potential for mixed waste during cleanout). Potential for liquids within inactive tanks, vessels, and piping. Miscellaneous tools and maintenance equipment located within canyon cell. Note: Gloveboxes to be maintained and used for containment when conducting facility cleanout/transition activities.	None.	DOE assessment: Completed 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter CY 2001	To be dispositioned as CERCLA non-time critical removal action.	None	Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-083-43, Complete Transition of the 242-Z Waste Treatment Facility and 236-Z Plutonium Reclamation Facility to Support FPP Decommissioning (due date: September 30, 2013).

Fluor Hanford, Inc., FPP Closure Project

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, Common name or project description	Facility number		Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
MW Treatment and Storage Tanks	241Z		Heels, associated piping, line flushing and sludge cleanout of Tank D-6. Tank D-6 deactivated in 1972 because of failure. Waste transferred from tank and tank/piping isolated.	None	DOE assessment: Completed 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter CY 2001	To be dispositioned as CERCLA non-time critical removal action. Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-083-42. Complete Transition and Dismantlement of the 241-Z Waste Treatment Facility (due date: September 30, 2011) M-083-32 Complete Closure Of The PFP 241-Z TSD Unit (due date: September 30, 2011)	Tank D-6 is not included in the 241-Z TSD Unit Closure Plan. RCRA/CERCLA integration is provided in the PFP Below Grade EE/CA.
Fluor Hanford, Inc., PFP Closure Project	PFP Settling Tank	241-Z-361	Tank containing waste from past practices, and piping.	None	DOE assessment: 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter CY 2006	To be dispositioned as CERCLA remedial action during FY 2009 to FY 2011.	RCRA/CERCLA integration is provided in the PFP Below Grade EE/CA.

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or description	Facility number	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
Waste Treatment Facility (inactive)	2412Z	Miscellaneous process tanks, first floor and mezzanine level. Process piping. Containment gloveboxes. Potential for liquids within tanks, vessels, and piping. Residual contamination within gloveboxes, tanks, and piping (potential for mixed waste during cleanout).	None	No assessments. Facility is sealed currently because of high levels of radioactive contamination resulting from cation exchange column explosion, August 1976. DOE assessment: NA	To be dispositioned as CERCLA non-time critical removal action. Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-083-43, Complete Transition of the 241-Z Waste Treatment Facility and 236-Z Plutonium Reclamation Facility to Support PFP Decommissioning (due date: September 30, 2013).	Data gap plan: NA Starting TPA negotiations: NA (completed)	None
Fluor Hanford, Inc., PFP Closure Project	SNM Storage/ Repackaging	2736Z	None	Residues and low-grade SNM solids.	DOE assessment: Completed 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter CY 2001	Tri-Party Agreement milestone M-083-00A, Complete PFP Facility Transition and Selected Disposition Activities (due date: September 30, 2016).	Data gap plan: NA Starting TPA negotiations: NA (completed)

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or description	Facility number	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
Rail Car Staging Area	212R Rail Spur, and PUREX Rail Cut	None	Rail car components (lead casks, liquids within the lead casks, bearings, and lubricants)	DOE assessment: 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter CY 2005	The equipment reuse/recycling program funding is no longer available. Without future funding, these materials will likely have to be disposed of as solid waste. Disposition options are being evaluated. Data gap plan: 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter CY 2007 Starting TPA negotiations: Negotiations are not needed.	None	
Fluor Hanford, Inc., D&D Project	200 North Area	212-N, 212-P, 212-R	212-R contains a burial box with some radiologically-contaminated equipment. The 212-N transfer bay contains 14 wooden boxes of suspected TRUM nuclear fuel fabrication equipment from the 308 Building, Room 213, moved around 1972, and a single wooden box from 308 Building, Room 212, transferred around 1973. No non-radioactive contamination has been identified in this facility that would support a MW designation. 212-P used to store PCBs. PMW will be evaluated in the upcoming assessment.	DOE assessment: 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter CY 2005	Data gap plan: 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter CY 2007 Starting TPA negotiations: Negotiations are not needed.	Activities are underway to remove the 15 wooden crates and ship them to a TSD unit.	

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or description	Facility number	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
IMUSTs not associated with a building	216-BC-201, 216-BY-201, 216-TY-201, 241-B-36I, 241-U-36I, 241-T-36I	Tank system heels in each IMUST, piping, equipment, and components.	None	DOE assessment: 2 <sup>nd</sup> quarter CY 2006 Starting TPA negotiations: Negotiations are not needed.	Data gap plan: 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter CY 2008 Starting TPA	The IMUSTs will be dispositioned with their respective cribs. Further information regarding the remediation strategy can be found in the following Operable unit documentation.	

Fluor Hanford, Inc., D&D Project

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or description	Facility number	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
224-T (Includes TRUSAf)	224-T	D1: Potential for liquid in vessels. The presence or absence of mixed waste in the 224-T cells is not documented and the potential for waste was identified in the Silver List D2: There is a glovebox/hood with vessels in the glovebox/hood, but mixed waste is not expected to be found in these items.	None	DOE assessment: Completed 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter CY 2002	D1 and D2: Data gap plan: Completed 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter CY 2002 Starting TPA negotiations: Negotiations are not needed.	The potential for MW presence in the cells is a former Silver List issue that has not been closed out. Facility decommissioning is being planned.	
Fior Hanford, Inc., D&D Project	231Z	Liquid in vessels and chemicals in gloveboxes.	None	DOE assessment: 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter CY 2006	Data gap plan: 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter CY 2008 Starting TPA negotiations: Negotiations are not needed.	The potential for MW to be present is a former Silver List issue that has not been closed out. Media that might designate as MW, if present, are expected to be contained in stainless steel vessels.	

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or description	Facility number	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
Fluor Hanford, Inc., D&D Project	242-B/BL	242-B/BL	None	Although no specific matrix can be identified at this time, a possibility exists that matrices could be found which would qualify as PMW.	DOE assessment: 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter CY 2007	Data gap plan: 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter CY 2009 Starting TPA negotiations: Negotiations are not needed.	None.
Fluor Hanford, Inc., D&D Project	B Plant	207-BA, 211-B, 212-B, 217-B, 221-B, 221-BB, 221-BF, 221-BG, 271-B, 276-B, 291-BA, 291-B, 291-BB, 291-BD, 291-BF, 291-BG, 292-B, 2711-B, 2715-B, 270-E-1 (MUST)	S&M Plan, DOE/RL-99-24, identifies the hazardous material remaining in the facility. Tank heels relate to TSD tank system and 270-E-1.	S&M Plan, DOE/RL-99-24, identifies the hazardous material remaining in the facility.	DOE assessment: NA	D & E: As described in the S&M Plan, DOE/RL-99-24, Rev 0. Data gap plan: NA Starting TPA negotiations: Complete. Any additional negotiations will be completed in accordance with the Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan Section 8.6.2.	B Plant is in the S&M phase of the facility decommissioning process, as described in Chapter 8.0 of the Tri-Party Agreement. Final disposition of the MUST and B Plant will be scheduled such that the activities are performed concurrently. See stored/forecasted portion of the report for details regarding waste stored in Cell 4 and in the containment building.
Fluor Hanford, Inc., D&D Project	224-B Building	224-B	Chemicals associated with operations at the 224-B Building may exist as residual deposition in tanks. Potential mixed waste remains in the 224-B process cells and vessels.	None	DOE assessment: 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter CY 2006	Data gap plan: 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter CY 2008 Starting TPA negotiations: Negotiations are not needed.	Facility decommissioning is being planned.

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or description	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors	
PUREX	202-A, 203-A, 204-A, 206-A, 211-A, 212-A, 213-A, 214-A/B/C/D, 215-A, 216-A, 225-EC, 271-AB, 276-A, 281-A, 291-A, 291•AB/A/C/AD/AE/A/G/A/H/A/J/A/K,, 291-A-1, 292-AA/AB, 293-A, A93-A, 294-A, 295-A, 295-AA/AB/A/C/AD/AE, 296-A-1, 296-A-2, 296-A-3, 296-A-5A/5B, 296-A-6/7/8/9/10/14/24, 2711-A-1, 2712-A, 2714-A/U, 2752-AC/AB, 216-A-5 (IMUST)	S&M Plan, DOE/RL-98-35, identifies the hazardous material remaining in the facility. Tank heels relate to TSD tank system and 216-A-5.	S&M Plan, DOE/RL-98-35, identifies NA the hazardous material remaining in the facility.	DOE assessment: Starting TPA negotiations: Complete. Any additional negotiations will be completed in accordance with the Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan Section 8.6.2.	Data gap plan: NA Starting TPA negotiations: Complete. Any additional negotiations will be completed in accordance with the Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan Section 8.6.2.	PUREX is in the S&M phase of the facility decommissioning process described in Chapter 8.0 of the Tri-Party Agreement. Final disposition of the IMUST at PUREX will be scheduled such that the activities are performed concurrently. See the stored/forecasted portion of the report for TSD waste storage at PUREX.	
FLuor Hanford, Inc., D&D Project	REDOX	202-S, 291-S, 292-S, 293-S, 2718-S, 211-S, 2711-S, 2715-S, 2904-SA, 2710-S, 2706-S,	S&M Plan, DOE/RL-98-19, identifies NA the hazardous material remaining in the facility.	DOE assessment: Starting TPA negotiations: Complete. Any additional negotiations will be completed in accordance with the Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan Section 8.6.2.	Data gap plan: NA Starting TPA negotiations: Complete. Any additional negotiations will be completed in accordance with the Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan Section 8.6.2.	REDOX is in the S&M phase of the facility decommissioning process described in Chapter 8.0 of the Tri-Party Agreement.	

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or description	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors	
U Plant	221-U, 276-U, 211-UA, 291-U, 292-U, 241-WR-001, 241-WR-002, 241-WR-003, 241-WR-004, 241-WR-005, 241-WR-006, 241-WR-007, 241-WR-008, 241-WR-009, 2716-U, 2714-U	S&M Plan, DOE/RL-98-20, identifies the hazardous material remaining in the facility and 241-WR Vault.	S&M Plan, DOE/RL-98-20, identifies the hazardous material remaining in the facility and 241-WR Vault.	DOE assessment: NA	Data gap plan: NA Starting TPA	The final disposition of 221-U, 276-U, and 291-U is being evaluated under CERCLA as a part of completed in accordance with the Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan Section 8.6.2.	negotiations: Complete. Any additional negotiations will be negotiated in accordance with the Canyon Disposition Initiative. 275-UR and 2714-U, among others, are being dispositioned under a CERCLA action memorandum calling for demolition of the facilities. Final disposition of all of the U Plant structures will be coordinated as parts of the overall U Plant Area closure.

Fluor Hanford, Inc., D&D Project

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or description	Facility number	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
UO3 Facility	224-U, 203-DX, 211-U, 207-U, 270-W (MUST)	S&M Plan, DOE/RL-98-22, identifies the hazardous material remaining in the facility.	S&M Plan, DOE/RL-98-22, identifies the hazardous material remaining in the facility.	DOE assessment: For 270-W, 2 <sup>nd</sup> quarter CY2007	Data gap plan: for 270 W, 2 <sup>nd</sup> quarter 2009. Starting TPA negotiations: Complete. Any additional negotiations will be completed in accordance with the Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan Section 8.6.2.	The 272-U, 2715-JA, 203-U, and 2716-JU, among others, were dispositioned under a CERCLA action memorandum calling for demolition of the facilities. 207-U is part of the 200-CW-5 CERCLA Operable Unit, and 270-U is part of the 200-PW-2 CERCLA Operable Unit. Final disposition of all parts of the UO3 Facility will be coordinated as parts of the overall U Plant Area closure.	

Fluor Hanford, Inc., D&D Project

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or Facility number	Facility description	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use, or recycled)	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
T Plant Canyon, RR Tunnel, Head-end	221-T	Process cells containing an inventory of PMW include inaccessible cells, process cells proposed to be cleaned, and process cells with potentially no proposed future uses. Inaccessible cells include: 20R, 20L, and 16L. Proposed cells to be cleaned include (subject to change): 19R, 18R, 10R, and 7R. Cells with potentially no proposed future uses include (subject to change): 19L, 18L, 17L, 14L, 12R, 12L, 9R, 8L, 6R, 4R, 4L, and 3R. Examples of inventory are jumpers, tanks, pumps, pump racks, centrifuges, work, torch cart and fuel racks, fuel canisters, and agitators.	Items having the potential for reuse include cover blocks, lead shielding (including portable lead walls), hand tools and tool boxes, metal ramp, chokers and slings, hoists, railroad ties, portable fences, cutters (e.g., jaws), portable pumps and hoses, impact wrenches, spill pallets, HEPA vacuums, HEPA filter and duct work, torch cart and portable exhaustor, aqueous make-up tanks, drum crusher, plasma arc cutter.	DOE assessment: 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter CY 2005	Cells with no proposed future use will be addressed when final decommissioning of the canyon takes place. Data gap plan: 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter CY 2007. Draft provided to Ecology for review on 9/19/06. Starting TPA negotiations: Completed. These activities have been discussed with Ecology during the T Plant Complex Dangerous Waste Permit Application Part A and Part B negotiations.	T Plant received its first shipment of K-Basin North Loadout Pit Sludge on January 5, 2005. Milestone M-91-01 and RCRA permitting	
Fluor Hanford, Inc., Waste Management Project	Cell 11-L	221-T	Tank in Cell 11-L. The cell 11-L tank contains approximately 500 gallons of a green liquid and saltcake mixture that will be designated as F001-F005, D002, D006, D007, D008, and D010 when removed from the tank.	None	DOE assessment: 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter CY 2005	Cell 11-L will be dispositioned along with the other RCRA-past practice process cells in the T Plant canyon. Data gap plan: 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter CY 2007. Draft provided to Ecology for review on 9/19/06. Starting TPA negotiations: Completed. These activities have been discussed with Ecology during the T Plant Complex Dangerous Waste Permit Application Part A and Part B negotiations.	Any commitment date will be dependent on the outcome of the Canyon Disposition Initiative. Milestone M-91-01 and RCRA permitting

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or description	Facility number	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use, or recycled)	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
T Plant Complex IMUSTs	292-TK-1 and 292-TK-2	292-TK-1 and 292-TK-2 consist of two stainless steel 55-gallon drums encased in concrete. These units contained a mixture of irradiated fuel and nitric acid. The solutions in the tanks were then neutralized with molar equivalents of sodium hydroxide.	None	DOE assessment: 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter CY 2005	This WIDS site will be addressed as part of the CERCLA remediation activity. Data gap plan: 3 <sup>rd</sup> quarter CY 2007. Draft provided to Ecology for review on 9/19/06. Starting TPA negotiations: Negotiations are not anticipated	Tanks are part of 200-IS-1 CERCLA remediation process.	
Fluor Hanford, Inc., Waste Management Project	340 Waste Neutralization Facility (340-Vault Tanks)	340 Vault tank heels and clean out residues and associated equipment (valves, piping, pumps, light fixtures) may designate as MW.	None.	DOE assessment: Completed 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter CY 2004	Potential MW disposition will be performed in accordance with Tri-Party Agreement milestones M-094-00, Complete Disposition of 300 Area Surplus Facilities (due 9/30/2015) and M-092-16, Complete Removal and Transfer, and Initiate Storage or Phase III SCW Waste and Materials (due 9/30/2015) Data gap plan: Completed 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter CY 2004. Starting TPA negotiations: Completed as part of the River Corridor negotiations	The schedule information in Column G is subject to change in accordance with Section 12.0, <i>Changes to the Agreement of the Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan.</i>	

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or Facility number	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors	
Radiochemical Processing Laboratory	3225	Tank system formerly used for product materials subsequently used as feedstock for research projects. Tanks have been drained and flushed, but remain in place.	Hot cells, hoods, and radioactive materials and waste analysis and research (reused as needed for new or expanded research activities)  Obsolete and no longer usable contaminated equipment and materials. Equipment containing approximately 5 tons of lead in numerous contaminated shipping containers, sample carriers, lead bricks, and other lead items.	DOE assessment: Completed 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter CY 2001	Data gap plan: Completed 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter CY 2002 Starting TPA negotiations: NA (no data gaps identified)	Part of an active facility; no special hazards known.	
Battelle Memorial Institute, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory	702-A Ventilation Building	241-A-702	Seal pot that received liquids from the HEPA pre-heater.	None	DOE Assessment: Completed 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter 2004	Data gap plan: None, as sample analysis for similar ventilation systems indicate it is unlikely the heel in the seal pot will designate as mixed waste. Starting TPA negotiations: NA.	SST Retrieval, WTP Construction, Permit Conditions, etc.
CH2M HILL, Hanford Group, Inc., Tank Farms	Double-Shell Tank AP, AY, AZ, SY	241-AN, AW,	Contaminated unusable equipment, e.g., ductwork, exhausters, piping, etc.	None	DOE Assessments: Completed 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter 2004	Data gap plan: The equipment will be handled in accordance with the management procedure. Starting TPA negotiations: NA. Equipment will be taken care of on a continuous basis.	

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or description	Facility number	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
CH2M HILL, Hanford Group, Inc., Tank Farms	Single-Shell Tank Farms	241-A, AX, B, BX, BY, C, T, TX, TY, S, SX, U, 244-AR, 244-CR	Contaminated unusable equipment, e.g., ductwork, exhausters, piping, ion exchange columns, etc.	None	DOE Assessments: Completed 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter 2004	Data gap plan: The equipment will be handled per the management procedure. Starting TPA. Negotiations: NA Equipment will be taken care of on a continuous basis.	SST Retrieval, WTP Construction, Permit Conditions, etc.
CH2M HILL, Hanford Group, Inc., Tank Farms	Evaporators	242-S, T	Liquids/solids in process tanks and piping, debris	None	DOE Assessment: Completed 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter 2005.	Data gap plan: Deferred until facility enters D&D due to industrial and radiological safety concerns with entering the portions of the facility necessary to gather meaningful data. Starting TPA. Negotiations: NA.	None

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or description	Facility number	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
CH2M HILL, Hanford Group, Inc., Tank Farms	IMUSTs not associated with a building	200-W-7 (243-S-TK-1), 231-W-151, 240-S-302, 241-A-302B, 241-B-301B, 241-B-302B, 241-BX-302A, 241-BX-302B, 241-BX-302C, 241-C-301C, 241-ER-311A 241-S-302A and B, 241-SX-302, 241-T-301, 241-TX-302A and B, 241-TX-302BR, 241-TX-302X, 241-TY-302A and B, 241-Z-8, 242-T-135, 241-TA-RI, 244-BXR (Vault), 244-TXR (Vault), 244-UR (Vault)	Tank system heels in each IMUST	None	Department of Ecology assessment, 3rd Quarter 2001	Data gap plan: Deferred until closure of specific Waste Management Area.	SST Retrieval, SST Permit Conditions, 200-IS-1 OU, CERCLA facility closure, and SST/EST DCRTs (M-32 and M-48), etc.
CH2M HILL, Hanford Group, Inc., Tank Farms	Miscellaneous Building	241-A-431, 241-C-801, 241-SX-401, 241-SX-402	Liquids/solids in piping and debris.	None	DOE Assessments completed: 2nd Quarter 2004, 3rd Quarter 2002, 1st Quarter 2001	Data gap plan: Deferred until closure of specific Waste Management Area.	SST Retrieval, WTP Construction, Permit Conditions, etc.

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, Common name or project	Facility number		Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
100-B Reactor Facilities	105-B	Miscellaneous contaminated material remains in the facility.	None	DOE assessment: Completed 6/15/04. Assessment excludes Starting TPA reactor.	Data gap plan: Completed 6/15/04. Starting TPA negotiations: Approval of Tri-Party Agreement Change Request M-093-01-02 completed Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-093-14, Initiate Negotiations for the Remaining Surplus Reactor Disposition Schedules.	The reactor is a key facility under Section 8.0 of the Tri-Party Agreement.	
Washington Closure Hanford, Environmental Restoration	115-KE and KW Reactor Facilities	Miscellaneous contaminated material in the facility is being managed as part of surveillance and maintenance activities	None	DOE assessment: Completed 6/15/04. Assessment excludes Starting TPA reactor.	Waste will be generated as part of the Interim Safe Storage activities. Data gap plan: Completed 6/15/04 Starting TPA negotiations: Completed as a part of River Corridor negotiations. Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-093-22, Complete 105- KE and 105-KW Reactor Interim Site Storage, is due 09/30/2011.	The reactor is a key facility under Section 8.0 of the Tri-Party Agreement. Tri-Party Milestones M-93-21-T01 and M-93-22-T01 address Interim Safe Storage of 105-KW and 105-KE respectively.	

Table 1-4. Potential Mixed Waste.

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
Company, project	Common name or description	Facility number	Solid waste, with potential for mixed waste, not integral to the building or structure (no use)	Materials, with potential to become solid waste and subsequently mixed waste (in standby, possible use), or recycled	DOE assessment of storage methods	Schedule information	Integrating factors
Washington Closure Hanford, Environment Restoration	100 Area Waste/Material Transport Containers	100 Area Reactor Facilities (Primarily N and K Area)	None	Containers being stored for future shipment of waste to be treated, disposed, or recycled.	DOE assessment: N/A	If not used, containers will be dispositioned with facility D&D in the 100 Areas.  Data gap plan: N/A  Starting TPA negotiations: Negotiations are not anticipated.	None.

Table 1-5. Historical List of Materials Deleted from Potential Mixed Waste Table.

Common Name or Description	Facility Number	Last Calendar Year Reported in Table 1-4	"Stuff"/Material Deleted	Reason for Deletion
327 Building	327	2005	Lead bricks	The building is undergoing deactivation and demolition is planned for 2008. The lead bricks are included in the forecasted waste volume to be treated at ERDF.
333 Building	333	2005	Miscellaneous equipment, piping, and ductwork	The building was deactivated and demolished in CY 2006. Equipment, piping, and ductwork disposed at ERDF.
100-K Area	105-KW	2005	Lead in the back of a utility truck.	The lead in the truck was removed from the vehicle and sent to the ERDF facility for disposal
3711 Building	3711	2004	Lead cask, pipe, pipe joints and metal railing contaminated with lead	Matrixes were disposed of in 2005.
2711-E	2711-E	2004	Radiator from crane-suspect lead solder	203-U, 2715-U-A, and 272-U have been demolished as part of the CERCLA Removal Action.
U03	203-U, 2715-U-A, 272-U	2004	Any matrixes described in the UO <sup>3</sup> S&M Plan, DOE/RI-98-22	2714-U and 275-UR have been demolished as part of the CERCLA Removal Action.
U Plant	2716-U, 275-UR	2004	Any matrixes described in the U Plant S&M Plan, DOE/RI-98-20	Equipment is no longer cleaned at this location.
Heavy Equipment Staging Area	4734D	2004	Heavy equipment components	Materials have been dispositioned, did not meet the definition of PMW, or are forecasted to be generated as MW.
PPF Facilities	232-Z, 236-Z, and portions of 234-SZ.	2003	Incinerator and leaching gloveboxes. Inactive process tanks, piping, and control equipment. Reclamation tanks, piping, and control equipment. Miscellaneous tools.	Facilities did not contain MW or PMW
340 Facility Complex	340-A Above Ground Tanks, 340-B, and 300 RLWS	2003	Tanks, process piping, ancillary equipment and related equipment.	Facilities did not contain MW or PMW
100 Areas Facilities	Many	2003	Miscellaneous contaminated material	Facilities did not contain MW or PMW
100-N Lead Storage Area	1714-N	2002	Lead sheeting and bricks, lead lined containers, and a lead lined survey booth	Matrix is now included in the stored/forecasted portion of the report for CERCLA lead under the ERDF – Treatment treatability group
242-A Evaporator	242-A	2002	Ion exchange column(s)	The ion exchange column(s) were disposed onsite.
314	314	2002	Large equipment previously used in the facility	LDR assessment concluded facility contained no MW or PMW.
3708	3708	2002	Solid obsolete laboratory equipment	LDR assessment concluded facility contained no MW or PMW.
Heavy Equipment Staging Area	2711E	2001	Miscellaneous equipment	No material left at this location, as it was shipped offsite for reuse.
Rad. Storage Area	3711	2001	Lead bricks	Shipped 9/26/01 to Duratek Inc. in Memphis, TN for decontamination/lead casting
Waste Storage Building	2724WB	2001	Radiators (from motor vehicles)	Shipped 9/26/01 to Duratek, Inc in Memphis, TN for decontamination/metal melt

Table 1-5. Historical List of Materials Deleted from Potential Mixed Waste Table.

Common Name or Description	Facility Number	Last Calendar Year Reported in Table 1-4	"Stuff"/Material Deleted	Reason for Deletion
Plutonium Finishing Plant	234-SZ	2001	E1: Laboratory Reagents E2: Archive Laboratory Samples E3: PR cans that have lead liners. E4: Low-grade SNM solutions not run through the precipitation process, but with potential to become solid waste (e.g., the direct discard process).	E1: These chemicals are in use within the laboratory. E2: Samples are archived in accordance with sample exclusion. E3 and E4: Material is now included on stored/forecasted portion of the report.  Note: Only the contents noted were removed from Table C-2. Table C-2 still contains other potential waste in this location.
MW Treatment and Storage Tanks	241-Z	2001	Tank D-9, Treatment chemicals	Tank D-9 is in use to mix treatment chemicals. Treatment chemicals are in use in transferring waste from the Plutonium Finishing Plant to Double Shell Tanks. Note: Only the contents noted were removed from Table C-2. Table C-2 still contains other potential waste in this location.
Waste Handling Facility	219-S	2001	Tank 103 and heel content	Combined with existing stored information for the 219-S WHF.
300-RRLWS	RRLWS	2001	Retired radioactive liquid waste sewer piping and ancillary structures might designate as MW.	Below-ground structure: Does not meet reporting criteria for Potential Mixed Waste Table.
2706-T Conex Box	Conex box CC2W0136 and CC2W137	2001	Various decontamination equipment, spill pallets, shipping coolers, carts, hoses, storage cabinets, and sampling equipment.	These conex boxes were opened and the contents visually verified and photographs taken. The photographs clearly demonstrate that the equipment is readily accessible. The equipment will be used in the future as part of the 2706-T Complex operations (e.g., decontamination, sampling, etc.). The photographs are maintained in the T Plant Complex operating record.
224-T (Includes TRUSAFA)	224-T	2001	Liquid in the sumps and the deep cell. Two cardboard boxes in the cells.	Determined to not have a hazardous component, and therefore, not a mixed waste. Note: Only the contents noted were removed from Table C-2. Table C-2 still contains other potential waste in this location.
C855 (CAT) Substation	252U	2001	Transformer	The transformer has been designated and found not to have a dangerous component. Therefore, it is not mixed waste.
324	324	2001	Shielded glovebox. Potential mixed waste residue. Former Silver List Item 11.8	Glovebox was included in the 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter CY 2002 LDR storage assessment and determined to contain only floor sweeps.
200 ETF	2025E	2001	Thin film dryer rotor	Rotor was rebuilt for reuse at the 200 ETF.
100 K Basins	105-KW	2001	Lead bricks, sheets	The lead has been declared CERCLA waste and reported under the stored/forecasted portion of the report.
Environmental Sciences Laboratory	3720	2001	Laboratory equipment, hoods and gloveboxes used for radioactive materials and waste analysis and research (reused as needed for new or expanded research activities)	Onsite inspection revealed that contaminated equipment is in use. Hoods and gloveboxes listed are part of the structure of the building.
100 C Reactor Facility	105-C, 118-C-4	2001	Reactor core, and equipment remaining in the facility.	Reactor core is part of the structure of the building. Mixed waste is removed during the reactor interim safe storage.

Table 1-5. Historical List of Materials Deleted from Potential Mixed Waste Table.

Common Name or Description	Facility Number	Last Calendar Year Reported in Table 1-4	"Stuff"/Material Deleted	Reason for Deletion
100 D/DR Reactor Facility	105-D, 105-DR, 117-DR, 190-DR	2001	Reactor core, and equipment remaining in the facility.	Reactor core is part of the structure of the building. Mixed waste is removed during the reactor interim safe storage.
100 F Reactor Facility	105-F	2001	Reactor core, and equipment remaining in the facility.	Reactor core is part of the structure of the building. Mixed waste is removed during the reactor interim safe storage.
100 H Reactor Facility	105-H, 1720-HA, 1713-H	2001	Reactor core, and equipment remaining in the facility.	Reactor core is part of the structure of the building. Mixed waste is removed during the reactor interim safe storage.
100-N Reactor Facilities	See Table I, S&M Plan for the 100-N Deactivated Facilities, DOE/RL-98-64, Rev. 0, S&M Plan for the 100-N Area Deactivated Facilities)	2001	Some remaining hazardous materials consisting of activated materials and fission products contained within the reactor block. (Further details are provided in DOE/RL-98-64, Rev. 0, S&M Plan for the 100-N Area Deactivated Facilities)	Reactor core is part of the structure of the building. Mixed waste was removed during the reactor decommissioning.
REDOX	276-S-141/142	2001	Tanks and heel content	The HSTF treatability group was developed to account for the 276-S-141/142 tanks. See Table 1-1.
Semi Works	241-CX-70, 241-CX-71, 241-CX-72, 276-C	2001	Tanks and heel content	The 241-CX Tank System treatability group was developed to account for the 241-CX tanks. See Table 1-1..

## 2.0 ASSESSMENTS OF MIXED WASTE STORAGE AREAS AND POTENTIAL MIXED WASTE

The DOE conducts/oversees assessments of mixed waste storage areas and other areas that could, in the future, be the source of generation of other mixed waste. DOE assessments include reviewing other independent assessments and inspections and contractor self-assessments. In addition, daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, and annual contractor assessments and inspections are conducted at Hanford Site mixed waste storage areas in accordance with company policy, DOE requirements, permit conditions, and other LDR storage obligations. The LDR storage assessment provides an additional level of review to address circumstances associated with mixed waste and potential mixed waste.

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

Of the findings and observations that were made from DOE assessments in CY 2006, no indicators requiring action for LDR reporting were identified.

### 2.2 ASSESSMENT SCHEDULES

In CY 2006, DOE-RL contractors initiated and/or performed assessments identified in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1. Summary of DOE-RL Assessment Results.

Assessment Location	Assessment Start Dates	Findings and Observations
241-CX	March 2005	As of December 31, 2006, the assessment report was not finalized.
T Plant	October 2005	As of December 31, 2006, the assessment report was not finalized. The draft assessment report/data gap plan was provided to Ecology for review on September 19, 2006
200 Area North and Railcar staging areas	December 2005	As of December 31, 2006, the assessment report was not finalized.
241-Z-361	March 2006	As of December 31, 2006, the assessment report was not finalized.
231-Z	March 2006	As of December 31, 2006, the assessment report was not finalized.
IMUSTs not associated with a building	June 2006	As of December 31, 2006, the assessment report was not finalized.
224-B	December 2006	As of December 31, 2006, the assessment report was not finalized.

IMUST = inactive miscellaneous underground storage tank

Schedule information for potential mixed waste can be found in Table 1-4.

Table 2-2 lists the locations where DOE-RL plans to initiate assessments in CYs 2007 through 2009.

Table 2-2. DOE-RL Assessments for CYs 2007 through 2009.

Facility/Location	Start Date
242-B/BL	1 <sup>st</sup> quarter CY2007
270-W	2 <sup>nd</sup> quarter CY2007

Table 2-3 lists the last DOE-ORP assessment scheduled to be performed. There were no identified findings and observations. No DOE-ORP assessments are currently scheduled. Any additional DOE-ORP assessment will be negotiated with Ecology in LDR Project Manager Meetings, and documented in related meeting minutes.

Table 2-3. Summary of DOE-ORP Assessment Results.

Assessment Location	Assessment Number	Assessment Conduct Dates	Findings and Observations
242-S and 242-T Evaporators	FY2006-POPD-S-0313	11/2005	None

The LDR Assessment report was transmitted on February 16, 2006 from ORP to Ecology (DOE letter #06-ED-015).

### 3.0 SUMMARY OF CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION

As part of generation of any waste, a generating unit must take steps necessary to confirm the proper management of this waste. This includes identifying proper radioactive classification, understanding the physical matrix, properly designating the waste, and, where applicable, identifying the appropriate underlying hazardous constituents. Types of information that can be used to characterize waste can include data from analysis of the waste and knowledge of the materials and/or processes used to generate the waste.

This section discusses and summarizes the waste treatability groups and the planned characterization activities for the waste. Waste must be sufficiently characterized so the waste can be stored and managed properly. In addition, waste must be sufficiently characterized before treatment to ensure that the proper treatment processes are applied and that the resultant treated waste meets LDR standards. Table 3-1 summarizes the planned characterization activities for each of the treatability groups. One column of information from Table 3-1 is reproduced in Table 1-2.

Table 3-1. Summary of Characterization Information for Each Treatability Group.

Treatability Group Name	Additional characterization activities	Planned characterization schedule	Related Tri-Party Agreement milestone
221-T Containment Building	Completed.	Completed.	None.
221-T Tank System	Additional characterization might be required to support waste treatment.	Will be done in conjunction with T Plant Complex Canyon disposition.	None.
222-S Laboratory Complex	Characterization performed as generated.	Ongoing.	None.
222-S T8 Tunnel	As required to support cleanout of 222-S.	Will be done in conjunction with 222-S Laboratory building disposition.	None.
241-CX Tank System	Additional characterization will be performed, as necessary, to support 200-IS-1 Operable Unit remedial decisions.	To be determined through development of 200-IS-1 documentation.	Major Milestone M-015-00 and interim Milestone M-020-54.
324 Building REC Waste	No further characterization planned for transfer to ERDF.	Completed.	M-089-00, M-094-03.
325 HWTU	Characterization performed as generated.	Ongoing.	M-094-00.
400 Area WMU	Completed.	Completed.	None.
B Plant Cell 4	To be determined via Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan, Section 8.0.	To be determined via Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan, Section 8.0.	None.
B Plant Containment Building	To be determined via Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan, Section 8.0.	To be determined via Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan, Section 8.0.	None.
Cesium and Strontium Capsules	None.	Completed.	M-092-05.
DST Waste	Additional information could be required, per TPA milestone.	Ongoing.	M-050, M-051, M-061, M-062, M-090.

Table 3-1. Summary of Characterization Information for Each Treatability Group.

Treatability Group Name	Additional characterization activities	Planned characterization schedule	Related Tri-Party Agreement milestone
ERDF – Treatment	Characterized as generated. Treatment and disposal are performed under CERCLA decision documents and treatment plans.	Ongoing.	None.
HSTF	Additional characterization will be performed, as necessary, to support removal of the tanks as part of 200-IS-1 Operable Unit activities.	To be determined through development of 200-IS-1 documentation.	Major Milestone M-015-00.
LERF/ETF Liquid Waste	Characterization performed as generated.	Ongoing.	None.
MLLW-01 – LDR Compliant Waste	Characterization performed as generated <sup>1</sup> .	Completed.	None
MLLW-02 – Inorganic Non-Debris	As necessary to meet treatment facility waste acceptance criteria <sup>1</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .
MLLW-03 – Organic Non-Debris	As necessary to meet treatment facility waste acceptance criteria <sup>1</sup> .	M-091-12 and M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .	M-091-12 and M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .
MLLW-04A – O/C Hazardous Debris	As necessary to meet treatment facility waste acceptance criteria <sup>1</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .
MLLW-04B – Non-O/C Hazardous Debris	As necessary to meet treatment facility waste acceptance criteria <sup>1</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .
MLLW-05 – Radioactive Lead Solids	As necessary to meet treatment facility waste acceptance criteria <sup>1</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .
MLLW-06 – Mercury Wastes	As necessary to meet treatment facility waste acceptance criteria <sup>1</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .
MLLW-07 – RH and Large Container	As necessary to meet treatment facility waste acceptance criteria <sup>1</sup> .	M-091-15 and M-091-43 <sup>2</sup> .	M-091-15 and M-091-43 <sup>2</sup> .
MLLW-08 – Unique Waste	As necessary to meet treatment facility waste acceptance criteria <sup>1</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .
MLLW-09 – Radioactive Batteries	As necessary to meet treatment facility waste acceptance criteria <sup>1</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .
MLLW-10 – Reactive Metals	As necessary to meet treatment facility waste acceptance criteria <sup>1</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .
PUREX Plant	To be determined via Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan, Section 8.0	To be determined via Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan, Section 8.0	None.
PUREX Storage Tunnels	To be determined in conjunction with PUREX Plant based on RCRA Permit closure plan.	To be determined via Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan, Section 8.0.	None.

Table 3-1. Summary of Characterization Information for Each Treatability Group.

Treatability Group Name	Additional characterization activities	Planned characterization schedule	Related Tri-Party Agreement milestone
Purgewater	Characterization performed as generated.	Ongoing.	None. Addressed in Appendix F of the Tri-Party Agreement
SST Waste	Further information may be required, per TPA milestone.	Ongoing.	M-045, M-050, M-051, M-061, M-062, M-090.
TRUM-CH Special Processing	As necessary to meet WIPP waste acceptance criteria.	M-091-44 <sup>2</sup> .	M-091-44 <sup>2</sup> .
TRUM-CH Standard Processing	As necessary to meet WIPP waste acceptance criteria.	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .	M-091-42 <sup>2</sup> .
TRUM-RH	As necessary to meet WIPP waste acceptance criteria.	M-091-44 <sup>2</sup> .	M-091-44 <sup>2</sup> .

<sup>1</sup> Newly generated waste in these categories is fully characterized as generated. For waste in inventory before 1995, existing TSD record information will be reviewed and a graded approach to characterization will be made as necessary based on existing acceptable knowledge.

<sup>2</sup> Characterization is anticipated to be performed as necessary to meet M-091 milestones.

CERCLA <i>Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act</i>	RCRA	<i>Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976</i>
CH contact handled	REC	Radiochemical engineering cell
DST double-shell tank	RH	remote handled
ERDF Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility	SST	single-shell tank
HWTU Hazardous Waste Treatment Unit	TRUM	transuranic mixed
LDR land disposal restrictions	TSD	treatment, storage, and/or disposal
MLLW mixed low-level waste	WESF	Waste Encapsulation and Storage Facility
O/C organic/carbonaceous	WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
PCB polychlorinated biphenyl	WMU	Waste Management Unit
PUREX plutonium-uranium extraction (facility or process)	WRAP	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility

This page intentionally left blank.

#### **4.0 SUMMARY OF TREATMENT INFORMATION**

This section summarizes the treatment information associated with the treatability groups and the volume of waste that will be treated as identified in Table 4-1. Certain information from Table 4-1 is reproduced in Table 1-2.

Table 4-1. Summary of Treatment Information for Each Treatability Group.

Treatability group name	Treatment process	Volume currently stored (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	Projected generation volume 2007 through 2011 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	Projected volume to be treated 2007 through 2011 (m <sup>3</sup> )	Planned treatment period	Tri-Party Agreement milestone
221-T Containment Building	Not yet determined	58	0	0	2025	None
221-T Tank System	Not yet determined.	9.2	0	0	2025	None.
222-S Laboratory Complex	Commercial-Stabilization, Commercial - Thermal.	4.0	55	59	2035	None.
222-S T8 Tunnel	Not yet determined.	0.2	0	0	2033	None.
241-CX Tank System <sup>2</sup>	Not yet determined.	2.6	0	0	To be determined through development of 200-IS-1 documentation.	M-015-00
324 Building REC Waste	As necessary, ERDF stabilization or macroencapsulation	5.0	0	0	In accordance with schedules established under M-094 milestones	M-089-00, M-094-03.
325HWTU	HWTU, Commercial-Stabilization, Commercial - Thermal.	4.0	60	64	Through 2011	M-094-00
400 Area WMU	Deactivation and conversion to sodium hydroxide.	1.4	0.1	0	Treatment is planned to begin after 2015	None.
B Plant Cell 4	Not yet determined.	1.4	0	0	In accordance with Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan, Section 8.0.	None.
B Plant Containment Building	Not yet determined.	290,000 kg	0	0	In accordance with Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan, Section 8.0.	None.
Cesium and Strontium Capsules	Not yet determined.	2.0	0	0	Treatment options are still being assessed.	M-092-05.
DST Waste	WTP vitrification.	100,000	190	0	2011-2028.	M-050, M-051, M-061, M-062, and M-090.
ERDF -- Treatment	ERDF treatment.	210	80,000	80,210	Through 2035	None. Treatment and disposal are performed under a CERCLA decision document and treatment plans.

Table 4-1. Summary of Treatment Information for Each Treatability Group.

Treatability group name	Treatment process	Volume currently stored (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	Projected generation volume 2007 through 2011 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	Projected volume to be treated 2007 through 2011 (m <sup>3</sup> )	Planned treatment period	Tri-Party Agreement milestone
HSTF	Not yet determined.	2.1	0	0	To be determined through development of 200-IS-1 documentation.	M-015-00.
LERF/ETF Liquid Waste	ETF.	37,000	96,000	133,000	Through 2032.	M-026-07A, B, C.
MLLW-01 – LDR-Compliant Waste	No treatment required.	300	160	No treatment required.	NA	None.
MLLW-02 – Inorganic Non-Debris	Stabilization.	360	50	401 <sup>3</sup>	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup> .	M-091-42.
MLLW-03 – Organic Non-Debris	Thermal.	610	76	720 <sup>3</sup>	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup> .	M-091-12A, M-091-12, and M-091-42.
MLLW-04A – O/C Hazardous Debris	Macroencapsulation	3,600	20	3,850 <sup>3</sup>	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup> .	M-091-42.
MLLW-04B – Non-O/C Hazardous Debris	Macroencapsulation	11	3.8	12.1 <sup>3</sup>	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup> .	M-091-42.
MLLW-05 – Radioactive Lead Solids	Macroencapsulation	12	1.3	13.7 <sup>3</sup>	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup> .	M-091-42.
MLLW-06 – Mercury Wastes	Amalgamation.	10	0.84	10.6 <sup>3</sup>	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup> .	M-091-42.
MLLW-07 – RH and Large Container	M-091-43.	3,000	36	900 <sup>3</sup>	M-091-15 and M-091-43 <sup>3</sup> .	M-091-15 and M-091-43.
MLLW-08 – Unique Waste	To be determined.	0.42	0	0.42 <sup>3</sup>	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup> .	M-091-42.
MLLW-09 – Radioactive Batteries	Macroencapsulation	15	0.84	15.6 <sup>3</sup>	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup> .	M-091-42.
MLLW-10 – Reactive Metals	Deactivation with selected stabilization.	27	0.26	27 <sup>3</sup>	M-091-42 <sup>3</sup> .	M-091-42.
PUREX Plant	Not yet determined.	1.0	0	0	In accordance with Tri-Party Agreement Action Plan, Section 8.0	None.
PUREX Storage Tunnels	Not yet determined.	2,800	0	0	Coordinated with PUREX Plant waste.	None.
Purgewater	Solar evaporation at PSTF and ETF treatment.	3,700	5,700	9,400	Ongoing.	None.
SST Waste	WTP <sup>4</sup> vitrification.	110,000	0	0	2011-2028.	M-050, M-051, M-061, M-062, and M-090.
TRUM-CH Special Processing	M-091 TRUM.	4,200	0	TBD <sup>3</sup>	M-091-44 <sup>3</sup> .	M-091-44.

Table 4-1. Summary of Treatment Information for Each Treatability Group.

Treatability group name	Treatment process	Projected generation volume 2007 through 2011 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	Projected volume to be treated 2007 through 2011 (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	Planned treatment period	Tri-Party Agreement milestone
TRUM-CH Standard Processing	WRAP Facility and/or T Plant Complex.	4,200	150	TBD <sup>3</sup> (~2034).	None.
TRUM-RH	M-091 TRUM.	210	1,300	TBD <sup>3</sup> (~2034). M-091-44 <sup>4</sup> .	M-091-44.

<sup>1</sup> Volume numbers in this table have been rounded to two significant figures.

<sup>2</sup> The stored volume reported contains uncertainty as to the actual volume (DOE 2005).

<sup>3</sup> Treatment is anticipated to be performed as necessary to meet M-091 milestones. See the M-091 milestones to determine what portion of the total volume requires treatment under those milestones.

<sup>4</sup> Some SSTs may classify as TRU, not high-level. If so, these would be expected to follow a different treatment path.

CH	contact handled	PCB	polychlorinated biphenyls
DST	double-shell tank	PSTF	Purgewater Storage and Treatment Facility
ERDF	Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility	PUREX	plutonium-uranium extraction (facility or process)
ETF	200 Area Effluent Treatment Facility	REC	
HSTF	Hexone Storage and Treatment Facility	RH	
HWTU	Hazardous Waste Treatment Unit	SST	
LDR	land disposal restriction	TRUM	transuranic mixed
LERF	Liquid Effluent Treatment Facility	WIP	Waste Management Unit
MILW	mixed low-level waste	WMU	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
O/C	organic/carbonaceous	WRAP	Waste Receiving and Processing Facility
		WTP	Waste Treatment Plant

## 5.0 STORAGE VOLUME AND CONTAINER NUMBERS FOR SELECTED STORAGE LOCATIONS

This section contains information on the volume in storage and the numbers of containers in storage for a number of Hanford Site locations. These locations are identified in the Tri-Party Agreement milestone description for M-026-01Q.

Table 5-1. Storage Volume and Number of Containers for Selected Hanford Locations.

Hanford Site location	Treatability group	Waste stream	Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	Number of containers
200 ETF	MLLW-01 – LDR Compliant Waste	RCRA Powder, LDR Compliant	17	82
	MLLW-02 - Inorganic Non-Debris	RCRA Powder, Inorganic Non-Debris Non-LDR Compliant	0	0
	MLLW-04A - O/C Hazardous Debris	Caustic O/C Hazardous Debris	0	0
	MLLW-04A - O/C Hazardous Debris	Acid O/C Hazardous Debris	0.6	3
	MLLW-04A - O/C Hazardous Debris	RCRA O/C Hazardous Debris	9.3	13
222-S	222-S Laboratory Complex	Containerized mixed waste	3.98	124
	222-S T8 Tunnel	T8 Tunnel RH-MLLW	0.2	N/A - Pile
	DST Waste	Bulk Aqueous Liquids	9.7	N/A - Tanks
	TRUM-CH Standard Processing	TRUM-CH	0.42	2
324	324 Building REC Waste	Radiochemical Engineering Cells	5	N/A – Tanks and cells
327	Data sheets deleted		0	0
325 HWTU	325 HWTU	325 HWTU	3.9	151
	MLLW-07 - RH and Large Container	MLLW-07 RH	0.001	1
	TRUM-CH Standard Processing	TRUM-CH	0.004	4
	TRUM - RH	TRUM-RH	0.208	2
CWC	MLLW-01 – LDR Compliant Waste	LDR compliant	186.62	123
	MLLW-02 - Inorganic Non-Debris	Inorganic Non-Debris Solids and Labpacks	353	1,634
	MLLW-03 - Organic Non-Debris	Organic Non-Debris Solids and Labpacks	442.44	1,833
	MLLW-04A - O/C Hazardous Debris	O/C Hazardous Debris	716.12	2,445
	MLLW-04B - Non-O/C Hazardous Debris	Non-O/C Inorganic Hazardous Debris	7.7	37
	MLLW-05 – Radioactive Lead Solids	Elemental Lead	11.01	55
	MLLW-06 - Mercury Wastes	Elemental Mercury	9.72	49
	MLLW-07 - RH and Large	MLLW-07	412.45	58

Table 5-1. Storage Volume and Number of Containers for Selected Hanford Locations.

Hanford Site location	Treatability group	Waste stream	Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	Number of containers
Hanford Site location	Container			
	MLLW-08 - Unique Waste	Unique Waste	0.42	2
	MLLW-09 - Radioactive Batteries	Pb & Cd Batteries	14.66	70
	MLLW-10 - Reactive Metals	Alkali Metals	26.7	67
	TRUM-CH Special Processing	TRUM Boxes	1,272	228
	TRUM-CH Standard Processing	CH TRUM	1,613	5,206
	TRUM - RH	RH TRUM	95	138
LLBG <sup>2</sup>	LERF/ETF Liquid Waste	TR34 and TR31 Leachate	0	N/A - Tanks
	MLLW-01 - LDR Compliant Waste	LDR compliant	94.8	224
	MLLW-02 - Inorganic Non-Debris	MLLW Retrieval Inorganic Non-Debris	0.74	3
	MLLW-03 - Organic Non-Debris	MLLW Retrieval Organic Non-Debris	148	~590
	MLLW-04A - O/C Hazardous Debris	MLLW Retrieval Debris	2,815	~11,300
	MLLW-07 - RH and Large Container	MLLW-07	2,554	~290
	TRUM-CH Special Processing	TRUM Retrieval Boxes	2,832	~270
	TRUM-CH Standard Processing	TRUM Retrieval	2,090	~9,940
	TRUM - RH	RH TRUM	106	~510
	MLLW-01 - LDR Compliant Waste	Lab Chemicals/Reagents, LDR Compliant	0	0
PFP	MLLW-02 - Inorganic Non-Debris	Laboratory Chemical Wastes, Inorganic Non-Debris	0	0
	MLLW-03 - Organic Non-Debris	Lab Chemicals/Waste, Organic Non-Debris	0	0
	MLLW-04A - O/C Hazardous Debris	Operations and D&D Wastes O/C Hazardous Debris	0	0
	MLLW-05 - Radioactive Lead Solids	Elemental Lead	0	0
	MLLW-06 - Mercury Wastes	Hg, Elemental	0	0
	MLLW-09 - Radioactive Batteries	Batteries, Lead	0	0
	TRUM-CH Standard Processing	Legacy Holdup Waste	0	0
	TRUM-CH Standard Processing	TRUM Debris	0	0
	221-T Containment Building	221-T Containment Building	58	N/A – Containment Building
T Plant	221-T Tank System	RCRA Tank System	9.2	N/A - Tanks
	LERF/ETF Liquid Waste	2706-T Tank System	0.63	N/A - Tanks
	MLLW-01 - LDR Compliant Waste	LDR Compliant	1.38	5
	MLLW-02 - Inorganic Non-Debris	Inorganic Non-Debris	0.7	3

Table 5-1. Storage Volume and Number of Containers for Selected Hanford Locations.

Hanford Site location	Treatability group	Waste stream	Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	Number of containers
	MLLW-03 - Organic Non-Debris	Organic Non-Debris	13.9	44
	MLLW-04A - O/C Hazardous Debris	O/C Hazardous Debris	8.8	13
	MLLW-04B - Non-O/C Hazardous Debris	Non-O/C Hazardous Debris	3.3	16
	MLLW-05 - Radioactive Lead Solids	Elemental Lead	0.4	2
	MLLW-07 - RH and Large Container	RH and Large Container	1.2	5
	MLLW-08 - Unique Waste	MW Requiring Special Processing	0	0
	MLLW-09 - Radioactive Batteries	Radioactive Batteries	0.2	1
	MLLW-10 - Reactive Metals	Alkali Metals	0.2	1
	TRUM-CH Special Processing	TRUM Box	52	6
	TRUM-CH Standard Processing	TRUM-CH	73.2	298
	TRUM-RH	TRUM-RH	9	2
WRAP	MLLW-01 - LDR Compliant Waste	LDR Compliant	0.21	1
	MLLW-03 - Organic Non-Debris	Organic Non-Debris	2.84	13
	MLLW-04A - O/C Hazardous Debris	O/C Hazardous Debris	20.34	85
	MLLW-05 - Radioactive Lead Solids	Radioactive Lead Solids	0	0
	MLLW-06 - Mercury Wastes	Elemental Mercury	0.66	4
	MLLW-09 - Radioactive Batteries	Miscellaneous Heavy Metal	0.21	1
	TRUM-CH Special Processing	TRUM - Large Container	1	2
	TRUM-CH Standard Processing	TRUM-CH	431	1,953
	TRUM-RH	TRUM-RH	3	15
WSCF	LERF/ETF Liquid Waste	LERF/ETF	0	0
	MLLW-02 - Inorganic Non-Debris	Inorganic Non-Debris	0	0
	MLLW-03 - Organic Non-Debris	Organic Non-Debris	0	0
	MLLW-04A - O/C Hazardous Debris	O/C Hazardous Debris	0	0

<sup>1</sup>=If zero is indicated, the reported mixed waste is forecasted to be generated or is being managed in a generator location (SAA or 90-day accumulation area). Values are rounded to 2-significant figures.

<sup>2</sup>= The storage volume for MLLW and TRUM treatability groups were estimated based on past retrieval activities and review of burial records. The number of containers was estimated based on a 50-50 split between MLLW and TRUM.

CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act	MLLW	mixed low-level waste
CH	contact handled	O/C	organic/carbonaceous
D&D	decontamination & decommissioning	PPF	Plutonium Finishing Plant
DST	double-shell tank	RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
ETF	Effluent Treatment Facility	REC	Radiochemical Engineering Cell
ERDF	Environmental Restoration Disposal Facility	RH	remote handled
HWTU	Hazardous Waste Treatment Unit	SAA	satellite accumulation area
LDR	land disposal restriction	TR	trench
LERF	Liquid Effluent Retention Facility	TRUM	transuranic mixed
LLBG	Low-Level Burial Grounds	WSCF	Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility
		WRAP	Waste Receiving and Processing

This page intentionally left blank.

## 6.0 REFERENCES

- DOE, 2005, *Calendar Year 2004 Land Disposal Restrictions Report Comment Responses*, 05-AMCP-0318, July 12, 2005, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.
- DOE/RL-98-19, 1999, *Surveillance and Maintenance Plan for the 202-S Reduction Oxidation (REDOX) Facility*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.
- DOE/RL-98-20, 2000, *Surveillance and Maintenance Plan for the 221-U Facility (U Plant)*, Rev. 1, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.
- DOE/RL-98-22, 1999, *Surveillance and Maintenance Plan for the Uranium Trioxide (UO<sub>3</sub>) Facility*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.
- DOE/RL-98-35, 1998, *Surveillance and Maintenance Plan for the Plutonium Uranium Extraction (PUREX) Facility*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.
- DOE/RL-98-64, 1998, *Surveillance and Maintenance Plan for the 100-N Deactivated Facilities*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.
- DOE/RL-99-24, 1999, *Surveillance and Maintenance Plan for the 221-B Facility (B-Plant)*, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland, Washington.
- Ecology, EPA, and DOE, 2003a, *Hanford Federal Facility Agreement and Consent Order*, as amended, Washington State Department of Ecology, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Department of Energy, Olympia, Washington, updated periodically.
- Ecology, DOE-ORP, and DOE-RL, 2003b, "M-026 LDR Report Project Manager Meeting Minutes", February 6, 2003, Washington State Department of Ecology; U.S. Department of Energy, Office of River Protection; and U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office.
- Ecology, DOE-ORP, and DOE-RL, 2006, "M-026 LDR Report Project Manager Meeting Minutes", January 17, 2006, Washington State Department of Ecology; U.S. Department of Energy, Office of River Protection; and U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office.
- EPA, 1990, *Guidance on the Land Disposal Restrictions' Effect on Storage and Disposal of Commercial Mixed Waste*, Directive #9555.00-01, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Washington, D.C.
- WAC 173-303, *Washington Administrative Code, Dangerous Waste Regulations*, State of Washington Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA
- Waste Information Data System, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland, Washington.

This page intentionally left blank.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BANK

**ENCLOSURE 1**

DOE Responses to Ecology comments on the  
*Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report*, DOE/RL-2007-16, Revision 0

Item	Reference	Ecology Comment(s)	DOE Comment Response and Disposition
1.	General	<p><b>Comment:</b> Many waste volumes were reported for M-91 related projects that are inconsistent with the M-91 PMP charts.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Modify the LDR report and/or the M-91 PMP charts so that the same or similar volumes are quoted.</p>	<p>The difference is due to the two reports being based on different points in time for volume data. The M-91 PMP report is based on 12/31/05 data. The <i>Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report</i>, DOE/RL-2007-16, Rev. 0 (CY2006 LDR report) is based on 12/31/06 data. The M-91 PMP is being resubmitted in response to Ecology comments on that document and the volume data will be updated to a more current point in time. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.</p>

*Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report, DOE/RL-2007-16, Revision 0*

DOE Responses to Ecology comments on the

Item	Reference	Ecology Comment(s)	DOE Comment Response and Disposition
2.	p. 1-5 thru p. 1-8	<b>Comment:</b> According to the PMP, Rev. 2 "Tree-Chart in Appendix D", the volumes are: $MLLW-01_{Inv} = 190 \text{ m}^3 TG CH$ $MLLW-01_{2007-2011} = 3862 \text{ m}^3 TG RH$ forecast $MLLW-01_{2007-2011} = 6172 \text{ m}^3 TG CH$ forecast $MLLW-02_{Inv} = 275 \text{ m}^3 TG CH$ $MLLW-02_{2007-2011} = 354 \text{ m}^3 CH$ forecast $MLLW-03_{Inv} = 586 \text{ m}^3 CH + 300 \text{ m}^3$ for M-91-12 $MLLW-03_{2007-2011} = 541 \text{ m}^3 CH$ forecast $MLLW-04A_{Inv} = 1177 \text{ m}^3 CH$ $MLLW-04A_{2007-2011} = 502 \text{ m}^3 CH$ forecast $MLLW-04B_{Inv} = 15 \text{ m}^3 CH$ $MLLW-04B_{2007-2011} = 346 \text{ m}^3 CH$ forecast $MLLW-05_{Inv} = 13 \text{ m}^3 CH$ $MLLW-05_{2007-2011} = 18 \text{ m}^3 CH$ forecast $MLLW-06_{Inv} = 15 \text{ m}^3 CH$ $MLLW-06_{2007-2011} = 8 \text{ m}^3 CH$ forecast $MLLW-07_{Inv} = 126 \text{ m}^3 CH$ $MLLW-07_{Inv} = 149 \text{ m}^3 RH$ $MLLW-07_{2007-2011} = 528 \text{ m}^3 RH$ forecast $MLLW-08_{Inv} = 20 \text{ m}^3 CH$ $MLLW-08_{2007-2011} = TBD \text{ m}^3 CH$ forecast $MLLW-09_{Inv} = 07 \text{ m}^3 CH$ $MLLW-09_{2007-2011} = 9 \text{ m}^3 CH$ forecast $MLLW-10_{Inv} = 0? \text{ m}^3 CH$ $MLLW-10_{2007-2011} = TBD \text{ m}^3 CH$ forecast	Refer to response to comment 1. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.

**Modification needed:** Modify document as needed.

*Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report, DOE/RL-2007-16, Revision 0*

DOE Responses to Ecology comments on the

Item	Reference	Ecology Comment(s)	DOE Comment Response and Disposition																		
3.	p. 1-8 thru p. 1-9	<p><b>Comment:</b> Verify how "TRUM-CH Special, TRUM-CH Standard, and TRUM-RH" relate back to M-91 Milestones. According to the PMP, Rev. 2 "Tree-Chart in Appendix D", the volumes are:</p> <table style="margin-left: 20px;"> <tr><td>TRUM-CH Special</td><td><math>\text{Inv} = 3896 \text{ m}^3</math></td><td>in ABS</td></tr> <tr><td>TRUM-CH Special</td><td><math>2007-2011 = 17,193 \text{ m}^3</math></td><td>RH forecast</td></tr> <tr><td>TRUM-CH Standard</td><td><math>\text{Inv} = ??? \text{ m}^3</math></td><td>in ABS</td></tr> <tr><td>TRUM-CH Standard</td><td><math>2007-2011 = ??? \text{ m}^3</math></td><td>RH forecast</td></tr> <tr><td>TRUM-RH</td><td><math>\text{Inv} = 260 \text{ m}^3</math></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>TRUM-RH</td><td><math>2007-2011 = 1009 \text{ m}^3</math></td><td>forecast</td></tr> </table> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Modify document as needed.</p>	TRUM-CH Special	$\text{Inv} = 3896 \text{ m}^3$	in ABS	TRUM-CH Special	$2007-2011 = 17,193 \text{ m}^3$	RH forecast	TRUM-CH Standard	$\text{Inv} = ??? \text{ m}^3$	in ABS	TRUM-CH Standard	$2007-2011 = ??? \text{ m}^3$	RH forecast	TRUM-RH	$\text{Inv} = 260 \text{ m}^3$		TRUM-RH	$2007-2011 = 1009 \text{ m}^3$	forecast	<p>Refer to response to comment 1. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.</p> <p>In addition, there have been ongoing discussions between DOE and Ecology since 10/2005 to clarify M-91 definitions. When agreements are reached, these definitions will be used to adjust TRUM volumes, as appropriate.</p>
TRUM-CH Special	$\text{Inv} = 3896 \text{ m}^3$	in ABS																			
TRUM-CH Special	$2007-2011 = 17,193 \text{ m}^3$	RH forecast																			
TRUM-CH Standard	$\text{Inv} = ??? \text{ m}^3$	in ABS																			
TRUM-CH Standard	$2007-2011 = ??? \text{ m}^3$	RH forecast																			
TRUM-RH	$\text{Inv} = 260 \text{ m}^3$																				
TRUM-RH	$2007-2011 = 1009 \text{ m}^3$	forecast																			
4.	p. 1-8 thru p. 1-9	<p><b>Comment:</b> Explain how Retrievably Stored Waste is counted by this report. How can RSW be added into the specific MLLW groupings as the actual amount is currently unknown?</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Verify and modify document as needed.</p>	<p>Retrievably Stored Waste (RSW) is counted as stored mixed low level waste (MLLW) or stored Transuranic Mixed waste (TRUM) within Tables 2-1, 2-2, 3-1, 4-1, and 5-1. Retrievably Stored Waste is split 50/50 between MLLW and TRUM (See Footnote 2 to Table 5-1). Also the split is broken down further by treatability group. See Appendix D the M-91 PMP (HNF-19169 Rev 2) for this breakdown. The following sentence will be added to Section 1.2 of the CY2006 LDR Summary report: "The treatability group breakout of retrievably stored waste is described in the project management plan required by Tri-Party Agreement Milestone M-91-03."</p>																		
5.	p. 1-10 and p. 1-11	<p><b>Comment:</b> The "Current Inventory and Projected Generation" columns need updating per previous comments.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Modify document as needed.</p>	<p>We agree that this table needs to be kept consistent with the other table containing the same information, however, no change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.</p>																		

DOE Responses to Ecology comments on the  
*Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report, DOE/RL-2007-16, Revision 0*

Item	Reference	Ecology Comment(s)	DOE Comment Response and Disposition
6.	p. 1-11	<p><b>Comment:</b> Verify how 0.42 m<sup>3</sup> of MWLL-08 will be treated between 2007 and 2011 when no current treatment path exists.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Change 0.42 m<sup>3</sup> to TBD.</p>	<p>As of 12/31/2006, DOE/FH believed the volume could be dispositioned with Ecology involvement to establish an alternative LDR option (e.g., Determination of Equivalent Treatment). Also, in order to meet M-91 milestones, this mixed waste must be treated/dispositioned within the time period. The treatment process for MLLW-08 in Tables 1-2 and 4-1 will be changed from "Not yet determined" to "To be determined."</p>
7.	p. 1-12 thru p. 1-30	<p><b>Comment:</b> Column E does not provide enough information for the potential mixed waste materials with possible future use paths.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Modify row E on p. 1-12 to read "Description/ documentation of the future use of items in column E included in an additional column" (new column F). Also, add a column F to Table 1-4 that includes a brief description of the path for re-use/recycle and the corresponding descriptions for each to Table 1-3.</p>	<p>See the instructions in Table 1-3 for column E on future uses which was established by the March 14, 2002 resolution of dispute. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.</p>
8.	p. 1-14	<p><b>Comment:</b> Additional wastes present at 234-5Z should include tanks, piping, and control and processing equipment including the RMA/RMB/RMC lines, etc.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> List additional equipment/components in column D.</p>	<p>Although the items mentioned in the comment are considered integral to the building, the following text will be added to entry for Column D: "tanks, piping, lead, control and processing equipment including the RMA/RMC lines." The RMB line is no longer in existence at 234-5Z.</p>

*Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report, DOE/RL-2007-16, Revision 0*

DOE Responses to Ecology comments on the

Item	Reference	Ecology Comment(s)	DOE Comment Response and Disposition
9.	p. 1-16	<p><b>Comment:</b> Additional wastes present at 241-Z-361 include piping, etc. Also, the 200-PW-1 OU is not listed in Columns G and H.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> List additional equipment/components in column D and include schedule and integrating factor information in columns G and H, respectively for 200-PW-1 OU.</p>	We agree it is possible that piping could be associated with 241-Z-361 but do not know at this time if potential mixed waste exists. Since an LDR assessment will be completed for this entry, "piping" will be added to Column D and then assessed during the LDR assessment. 200-PW-1 will be added to Column H.
10.	p. 1-18	<p><b>Comment:</b> It is doubtful that liquids within the lead casks can be re-used. Negotiations may be needed in the future.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> List how the liquids within the lead casks can be re-used, additional equipment/components in column D, and delete the "Negotiations are not needed" phrase in column G.</p>	Liquids in the cask cars are shipped offsite to the recycler in order to support the melting process when the car is recycled. The rail cars are identified in Column E because they will be recycled. The column E heading of the potential mixed waste table will be updated to include the words "or recycled" in the parenthetical statement. For each row in the potential mixed waste table within Column E, "Negotiations" will be changed to "TPA Negotiations."

*Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report, DOE/RL-2007-16, Revision 0*

DOE Responses to Ecology comments on the

Item	Reference	Ecology Comment(s)	DOE Comment Response and Disposition
11.	p. 1-19	<p><b>Comment:</b> Additional wastes present at IMUSTs not associated with buildings include piping, etc. Also, the 200-TW-1/2 OUs, etc. is not listed in Columns G and H.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> List additional equipment/components in column D and include schedule and integrating factor information in columns G and H, respectively for 200-TW-1/2 OUs, etc.</p>	<p>We agree it is possible that piping, equipment, components could be associated with these IMUSTs but do not know at this time if potential mixed waste exists. Since an LDR assessment will be completed for this entry, "piping, equipment, and components" will be added to Column D and then assessed during the LDR assessment. The different operable units for the 6 tanks listed will be added to column H as follows:</p> <p>216-BC-201: 200-BC-1      216-BY-201: 200-TW-1      216-TY-201: 200-IS-1      241-B-361: 200-TW-2      241-U-361: 200-UW-1      241-T-361: 200-TW-2</p>
12.	p. 1-19	<p><b>Comment:</b> Basis for the statement under 224-T, column D, "mixed waste is not expected to be found in these items" needs to be explained. Negotiations may be needed in the future.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Delete the phrase above in column D or provide basis for statement and delete the "Negotiations are not needed" phrase in column G.</p>	<p>The phrase is based on entries into the process cell side of the building with robotic equipment and personnel in past years and the video tapes taken during the entries. Regarding negotiations, text will remain because there is a CERCLA EE/CA and Action Memorandum completed on the building. A RCRA closure plan for the TSD unit side of 224-T was also placed out for public comment at the same time as the EE/CA. In column G, the following text will be added: "An EE/CA coupled with a RCRA closure plan was placed out for public comment in early 2004. An Action Memorandum was completed in June 2005 (DOE/RL-2005-68). The RCRA closure plan will be incorporated into the Hanford Facility RCRA Permit."</p>

*Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report, DOE/RL-2007-16, Revision 0*

DOE Responses to Ecology comments on the

Item	Reference	Ecology Comment(s)	DOE Comment Response and Disposition
13.	p. 1-19	<p><b>Comment:</b> If the assumption that the media are stable and poses no threat to human health or the environment at 231-Z is not based on factual data, the statement should be removed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Delete the phrase in column H that states "It is assumed that the media, if present, are stable and pose no threat to human health or the environment".</p>	<p>Accept, the last sentence in Column H will be removed. An LDR storage assessment/data gap plan will look at the status of mixed waste and potential mixed waste.</p>
14.	p. 1-24	<p><b>Comment:</b> Schedule information for T Plant Canyon needs revision.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Add "Ecology reviewed and commented on the T-Plant LDR April 2007. However, as the T-Plant Permit is not final, negotiations will continue." to column G. Also, include schedule and integrating factor information in columns G and H, respectively for M-91-01.</p>	<p>Negotiations (meaning Tri-Party Agreement negotiations) are not needed for T Plant. We agree that RCRA permitting discussions on the Part B Permit Application for T Plant and the resolution of comments on the T Plant LDR Assessment need to be completed.</p> <p>The information about Ecology comments on the T Plant LDR assessment will be added to next year's report since it occurred after the reporting period (12/31/06).</p> <p>"Milestone M-91-01 and RCRA permitting" will be added to Column H.</p>

*Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report, DOE/RL-2007-16, Revision 0*

DOE Responses to Ecology comments on the

Item	Reference	Ecology Comment(s)	DOE Comment Response and Disposition
15.	p. 1-24	<b>Comment:</b> Status is incorrect and not all integrating factors are listed.	Same response as response to comment 14.
		<b>Modification needed:</b> Add “Ecology reviewed and commented on the T-Plant LDR April 2007. However, as the T-Plant Permit is not final, negotiations will continue.” to column G. Also, include schedule and integrating factor information in columns G and H, respectively for M-91-01.	
16.	p. 1-25	<b>Comment:</b> Additional wastes present with the T-Plant IMUSTs include piping, etc. Also, negotiations may be needed in the future.  <b>Modification needed:</b> List additional equipment/components. Delete the “Negotiations are not needed” phrase in column G.	The T Plant IMUSTs are actually two drums, and do not have any associated piping. There are two sealed vent risers which are not expected to contain potential mixed waste based on the LDR assessment. Negotiations (meaning Tri-Party Agreement negotiations) are not needed for the T Plant IMUSTs because they are being worked through an operable unit. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.
17.	p. 1-26	<b>Comment:</b> Not all integrating factors are listed for Double-Shell Tank Farms.  <b>Modification needed:</b> Add “SST Retrieval, WTP Construction, Permit Conditions, etc.” integrating factor information in columns G and H, respectively.	Accept: The following information will be added to Column H: “SST Retrieval, WTP Construction, Permit Conditions, etc.”
18.	p. 1-27	<b>Comment:</b> Not all integrating factors are listed for Single-Shell Tank farms.  <b>Modification needed:</b> Add “SST Retrieval, WTP Construction, Permit Conditions, etc.” integrating factor information in columns G and H, respectively.	Accept: The following information will be added to Column H: “SST Retrieval, WTP Construction, Permit Conditions, etc.”

*Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report, DOE/RL-2007-16, Revision 0*

DOE Responses to Ecology comments on the

Item	Reference	Ecology Comment(s)	DOE Comment Response and Disposition
19.	p. 1-28	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not all IMUSTs and integrating factors are listed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Add to column C: 244-BX, 244-S, 244-TX, 244-U, 241-A-302A, 241-A-350, 241-A-417, 242-A-AX-152, 242-AZ-151, 242-AZ-154, 241-EW-151, 241-S-304, 241-TX-302C, 241-U-301B, and 244-A. And add “SST Retrieval, SST Permit Conditions, IS-1 OU, and CERCLA facility closure, and SST/DST DCRTs (M-32 and M-48), etc.” integrating factor information in columns G and H, respectively.</p>	<p>The following information will be added to Column H: “SST Retrieval, SST Permit Conditions, 200-IS-1 OU, CERCLA facility closure, and SST/DST DCRTs (M-32 and M-48), etc.”</p> <p>The list of miscellaneous tanks identified in Ecology’s comment will not be included because they are contained in the SST and DST system.</p>
20.	p. 1-28	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not all integrating factors are listed for Miscellaneous Buildings.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Add “SST Retrieval, WTP Construction, Permit Conditions, etc.” integrating factor information in columns G and H, respectively.</p>	<p>Accept: The following information will be added to Column H: “SST Retrieval, WTP Construction, Permit Conditions, etc.”</p>

*Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report, DOE/RL-2007-16, Revision 0*

DOE Responses to Ecology comments on the

Item	Reference	Ecology Comment(s)	DOE Comment Response and Disposition
21.	p. 1-31	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not enough documentation is listed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Add appropriate milestone and clean-up documents to the “Reason for Deletion Column” that verify where the matrices were disposed of in 2005 for both the 3711 and 2711-E buildings. Add the recent oil spill information and cleanup for the 2711-E building.</p>	<p>Although Table 1-5 is required through TPA change request M-26-06-01 establishing the M-26-01Q milestone description, this table is not required by previous decision documents (e.g., Resolution of Dispute). This table was added in 2001 when matrices started being deleted from the Potential Mixed Waste Table (Table 1-4) in order to help the reader know what was deleted each year. There is currently no requirement to add the requested information, and the information was approved last year in the CY2005 LDR Summary Report. In many cases for potential mixed waste, there is no milestone or clean up documentation because the contractor project personnel dispositioned the materials. The only proposed change is to add “Above Ground Tanks” to the 340-A Entry of the table.</p>
22.	p. 1-31	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not enough documentation is listed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Add additional information to specify which CERCLA Removal Action was completed for both the UO<sub>3</sub> and U-Plant facilities.</p>	<p>See response to comment 21. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.</p>
23.	p. 1-31	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not enough information and documentation is listed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Add additional information to specify which CERCLA Removal Action was completed, current status of, final disposition, etc. for the 4734D and PFP facilities, and 242-A ion exchange columns.</p>	<p>See response to comment 21. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.</p>

*Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report, DOE/RL-2007-16, Revision 0*

DOE Responses to Ecology comments on the

Item	Reference	Ecology Comment(s)	DOE Comment Response and Disposition
24.	p. 1-31	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not enough information and documentation is listed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Add additional information to specify the basis that (sampling, inspection, demolition of, etc.) the facility does not contain PMW for the 340 Complex, (and list these-“many” is not sufficient), 1714-N, 314, 3708 buildings, and 100 Area facilities. 100 Area facilities need to be listed individually – “many” is not sufficient.</p>	<p>See response to comment 21. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.</p>
25.	p. 1-32	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not enough information and documentation is listed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Add additional information to specify which CERCLA Removal Action was completed, current status of, final disposition, etc. for the 234-5Z, 241-Z, 300 RRL WS, 2025E at 200 ETF, 105-KW, 3720, and 105-C and 118-C-4 facilities.</p>	<p>See response to comment 21. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.</p>
26.	p. 1-33	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not enough information and documentation is listed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Add additional information to specify which CERCLA Removal Action was completed, current status of, final disposition, etc. for the 105-D, 105-DR, 117-DR, 190-DR, 105-F, 105-H, 1720-HA, 1713-H, and 100-N Reactor facilities.</p>	<p>See response to comment 21. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.</p>

*Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report, DOE/RL-2007-16, Revision 0*

DOE Responses to Ecology comments on the

Item	Reference	Ecology Comment(s)	DOE Comment Response and Disposition
27.	p. 1-33	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not enough information and documentation is listed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Add additional information to specify which CERCLA Removal Action was completed, current status of, final disposition, etc. for the REDOX and Semi Works facilities. And add integration with the 200-IS-1 OU.</p>	<p>See response to comment 21. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.</p>
28.	p. 2-1	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not enough information and documentation is listed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Add a “Path Forward” column to Table 2-1.</p>	<p>Schedule information for potential mixed waste is found in Table 1-4. Table 2-1 contains the required information to meet LDR reporting requirements. The layout of Table 2-1 has been approved through previous LDR report primary document processes. TPA change request M-26-06-01 establishing the current text of M-26-01Q was based on the approved CY2005 LDR Summary Report after the trial period. The CY2005 LDR Summary Report was the model for future summary reports. A sentence will be added to the bottom of Table 2-1 that states: “Schedule information for potential mixed waste can be found in Table 1-4.”</p>
29.	p. 2-2	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not enough information and documentation is listed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Add reference documents under the “Findings and Observations” column to Table 2-3.</p>	<p>There are no findings or observations to report in the CY2006 LDR Summary Report relating to LDR storage assessments/data gap plans. A reference to the document identified in Table 2-3 will be added so that the reader can find the LDR assessment report described. A footnote will be added to read: “The LDR Assessment report was transmitted on February 16, 2006 from ORP to Ecology (DOE letter #06-ED-015).”</p>

DOE Responses to Ecology comments on the  
*Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report, DOE/RL-2007-16, Revision 0*

Item	Reference	Ecology Comment(s)	DOE Comment Response and Disposition
30.	p. 3-1	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not enough information and documentation is listed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Provide a record of decision or documented agreement basis for each “Completed” or “None” entry in Table 3-1 or modify these entries to state that a path forward has not been established.</p>	<p>Table 3-1 contains the required information to meet LDR reporting requirements. The layout of Table 3-1 has been approved through previous LDR report primary document processes. TPA change request M-26-06-01 establishing the current text of M-26-01Q was based on the approved CY2005 LDR Summary Report after the trial period. The CY2005 LDR Summary Report was the model for future summary reports. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.</p>
31.	p. 3-1 to 3-3	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not enough information and documentation is listed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Provide a record of decision or documented agreement basis for each path forward entry in Table 3-1 listed (221-T Tank System, 222-S Lab, DST and SST Waste, etc., or modify these entries to state that the path forward listed is tentative and not agreed to by the Tri-Parties.</p>	<p>There are no path forward entries in Table 3-1. The columns of information are “Additional Characterization Activities,” “Planned Characterization Activities,” and “Related Tri-Party Agreement Milestones.”</p> <p>See response to comment 30 regarding Table 3-1. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.</p>
32.	p. 3-3	<p><b>Comment:</b> Editorial.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Modify footnote 1 of Table 3-1 to read...“will be reviewed and a graded approach to characterization will be made as necessary ...”</p>	<p>Accept, the footnote will be changed.</p>
33.	p. 4-2 to 4-4	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not enough information and documentation is listed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Modify the “Volume currently stored” and “Projected volume to be treated” columns in Table 4-1 based on previous comments listed above.</p>	<p>We agree that Table 4-1 information needs to remain consistent with the same information in other tables. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.</p>

*Calendar Year 2006 Hanford Site Mixed Waste Land Disposal Restrictions Summary Report, DOE/RL-2007-16, Revision 0*

DOE Responses to Ecology comments on the

Item	Reference	Ecology Comment(s)	DOE Comment Response and Disposition
34.	p. 5-3	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not enough information and documentation is listed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Modify footnote 2 of Table 5-1 to be consistent with data in SVWTS and the M-91 PMP. Also modify any data in Table 5-1 (such as MLLW-08 information), as needed to maintain consistency.</p>	The footnote is consistent with the estimation of a 50/50 split between MLLW and TRUM from RSW activities. See response to comment 4. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment to maintain consistency.
35.	p. 6-1	<p><b>Comment:</b> Not enough information and documentation is listed.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Add additional references including CERCLA cleanup documents (OU specific, CDI, facilities, etc.) SST and DST documents, etc.</p>	Accept. A reference to the Waste Information Data System will be added to the CY2006 LDR report.
36.	General	<p><b>Comment:</b> Units 100-NR-1, 1301-N, 1325-N, 1324-N/NA, 183-H, and 300 APT are not specified in the Report.</p> <p><b>Modification needed:</b> Add these units to table 5-1.</p>	These locations do not meet LDR report reporting criteria. They do not store mixed waste, do not contain potential mixed waste, and are not projected to generate mixed waste in the next 5-years. No change is proposed to the CY2006 LDR report based on this comment.